REPORTABLE

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.724 OF 2020

PRANEETH K AND ORS. ...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNIVERSITY GRANTS

COMMISSION (UGC) AND ORS.

...RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 739 OF 2020

YUVA SENA ...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNIVERSITY GRANTS

COMMISSION AND ORS.

...RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 746 OF 2020

YASH DUBEY AND ANR.

...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 745 OF 2020

KRUSHNA GOVING WAGHMARE AND ORS.

...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNIVERSITY GRANT COMMISSION AND ORS.

...RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO.10042 OF 2020 (Diary No. 15056 OF 2020)

KAJAL MISHRA AND ORS.

...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

...RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 741 OF 2020

WEST BENGAL COLLEGE AND UNIVERSITY PROFESSORS'

ASSOCIATIOIN (WBCUPA) AND ANR. ...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

...RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 794 OF 2020

SARTHAK MEHTA AND ORS. ...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMISSION (UGC) AND ORS. ...RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 814 OF 2020

RITESH ANIL MAHAJAN AND ORS. ...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

THE MAHARASHTRA STATE DISASTER MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY AND ORS. ... RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 862 OF 2020

KALICHARAM GAJBHIYE AND ANR. ...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

THE MAHARASHTRA STATE DISASTER MANAGEMENT AUTHORITY AND ORS. ...RESPONDENT(S)

WITH

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 861 OF 2020

SOUVIK PAL

...PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL

...RESPONDENT(S)

JUDGMENT

ASHOK BHUSHAN, J.

This batch of cases consisting of writ petitions (except one Special Leave Petition, i.e., SLP (C) D. No.15056 of 2020) filed under Article 32 of the Constitution of India can be divided into two broad groups. First group of writ petitions consists of petitions filed by students, youth organisations and the teachers associations challenging the guidelines issued by University Grants Commission (hereinafter referred to as "UGC") dated 06.07.2020, O.M. dated 06.07.2020 issued by Ministry of Human Resource

Development and letter dated 06.07.2020 issued by Ministry of Home Affairs whereby all the Universities and Colleges across the country had been directed to conduct terminal semester/ final year examinations by further relief 30.09.2020. Α has been directing the respondents to declare the results of the students of the final year/terminal semester examinations of all universities/ institutions of the basis country on the of their past performance/internal assessment and to award marksheets and degrees. The second group of writ are the writ petitions filed petitions by the students challenging the decision of the State Disaster Management Authority as well as of the States (State of Maharashtra and State of holding final term examination. Bengal) for not Further prayers have been made that State of Maharashtra as well as State of West Bengal be directed to comply with the UGC revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020 and O.M. dated 06.07.2020

Ministry of Human Resource Development. The special leave petition has been filed against a common order dated 14.07.2020 passed by the High Court of Delhi in Writ Petition No. 3199 of 2020 and other connected matters by which the High Court noted the schedule of examination in the Open Book Examination (OBE) mode by University of Delhi.

2.In Writ Petition (C) No. 724 of 2020, Praneeth K and Others Vs. University Grants Commission and Others, a common counter affidavit, additional affidavit and affidavit in reply to the UGC has been filed. The State of Maharashtra has also filed affidavits in Writ Petition (C) No. 724 of 2020. All the parties in Writ Petition (C) No. 724 of 2020 are represented. Other writ petitions and special leave petition are tagged with Writ Petition (C) No. 724 of 2020. The decision in Writ Petition (C) No. 724 of 2020 shall be sufficient to answer the issues raised in this batch of cases. The pleadings in Writ Petition (C)

No. 724 of 2020 need to be noted in some detail with brief reference of prayers in other writ petitions and special leave petition.

Writ Petition (C) No. 724 of 2020 - Praneeth K and Ors. Vs. University Grants Commission and Ors.

3. This writ petition has been filed by 31 students pursuing undergraduate or postgraduate terminal semester/final year courses in different Universities located in different States across the country. The petitioners are studying in different Universities located in States of Kerala, Maharashtra, Assam, Gujarat, Himachal Pradesh, Uttarakhand, NCT Delhi, Orissa, Madhya Pradesh, West Bengal, Haryana, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar and Meghalaya. Petitioners' case is that due to pandemic COVID-19, Government of India announced the nationwide lockdown w.e.f. 24.03.2020 in order to contain the spread of COVID-19. The Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Government of India issued various directions, guidelines and SOPs.

Various educational institutes and Universities extended their dates of examination for various courses postponing the same indefinitely.

4.UGC constituted an Expert Committee to deliberate and make recommendations regarding issues of examination academic calendar. and The Expert Committee submitted its report on basis of which UGC issued quidelines on 29.04.2020, in which quidelines it was take the final proposed to year university examination by 31.07.2020. Number of COVID cases being still rising, the above Expert Committee was requested by UGC to revisit the guidelines. The Expert Committee submitted its report, which was approved by UGC on 06.07.2020 and UGC revised the quidelines and issued academic calendar for final year examinations. In view of COVID-19 pandemic, the revised guidelines provided that Universities are required to complete the examinations by end of September, 2020 in offline (pen and paper)/online/blended (offline + online) following the prescribed protocol/guidelines relating to COVID-19. On 06.07.2020, the Ministry of Human Resource Development formulated SOP for conduct of the examination duly vetted by Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. On 06.07.2020, the Ministry of Home Affairs by a letter permitted the Ministry of Human Resource Development to conduct the examination by Universities and institutions.

5. The petitioners' case is that the decision of the UGC, Ministry of Human Resource Development and Ministry of Home Affairs to conduct the final term/final examinations of Universities and institutions throughout the country amid COVID-19 pandemic is extremely arbitrary, whimsical and detrimental to the health and safety of the students as well as violative of fundamental rights of lakhs of students enshrined under Articles 14 and 21 of the

Constitution of India including those of the writ petitioners.

6. In pursuance of the guidelines dated 06.07.2020, various institutions and colleges have issued notifications notifying the final year examination. Many universities and educational institutions abroad have issued their India and admission notification for the year 2020-2021 wherein the last date of online registration was 31.07.2020 and unless a candidate possess the degree before that he cannot apply for admission. Representation dated 09.07.2020 has been submitted to the Minister of Human Resource Development to find an alternate way to save the of petitioners careers the students. The further claimed that various other examination Boards like CBSE, ICSE, ISC have cancelled their Xth/XIIth Board examination due to COVID-19 pandemic and has declared the result the basis on of past performance/internal assessment. On one hand, the UGC

exempted the students of intermediate has years/semester from appearing in the examinations due to COVID-19 outbreak and on the other hand has forced the year students to final appear in the examinations, which is discriminatory and arbitrary. petitioners in the writ petition The have made following specific prayers:-

- Issue urgent Writ In the nature of a) mandamus or any Other appropriate Writ, Order or Direction to quash and set aside the Letter bearing D.O. No.F.1-1/2020 (Secy) dated 06.07.2020 issued by the Respondent No.1 UGC (Annexure P-3) AND the Office Memorandum bearing F.No. 16-16/2020-U1A dated 06.07.2020, issued by the respondent No. 3 MHRD (Annexure P-4) Notification AND bearing NW/RK/PK/AD/DD dated 06.07.2020, issued Information by the Press Bureau, Government of India (Annexure P-5) whereby all the Universities and India Colleges across have been directed to conduct final Term/final year examinations by 30.09.2020; and/or
- b) Accordingly, issue urgent Writ In the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order or Direction to the Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3 to not conduct the final Term/ final Year

- examinations of all Universities/institutions across India; and/or
- Issue urgent Writ in the nature of C) mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order or Direction to Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3 to declare results of the Petitioners and other of the similarly situated students final Term/ final Year examinations of all Universities/ Institutions across India, on the basis of their past performance/ internal assessment and marksheets and degrees to to award all successful students on or before 31.07.2020; and/or
- d) Issue urgent Writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order or Direction to the Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3 to adopt CBSE mechanism end provide subsequently another chance to Improve marks to those willing students, who may be unsatisfied with their score based upon their past performance or Internal assessment; and/or
- e) Pass any other order or direction as this Hon'ble Court may deem it and proper In the facts and circumstances of the case and in the interest of justice."
- 7. By our order dated 27.07.2020, we had directed the petitioners to serve a copy to learned Solicitor

General as well as learned counsel for the UGC. Three days' time was given to file the counter affidavit and rejoinder was directed to be filed on next date. In pursuance of order dated 27.07.2020, a common counter affidavit dated 30.07.2020 has been by UGC. UGC has also filed additional filed affidavits. An affidavit dated 05.08.2020 was also filed by the State of Maharashtra in Writ Petition (C) No. 724 of 2020, reply of which was filed by the UGC vide its affidavit dated 17.08.2020. Pleadings were complete in Writ Petition (C) No. 724 of 2020, consideration of which writ petition shall answer all issues raised in this batch of cases.

Writ Petition (Civil) No. 739 of 2020 — Yuva Sena Vs. University Grants Commission and Ors.

8. This writ petition has been filed as a public interest litigation by the petitioner, which is youth wing of Shiv Sena, registered and recognized political party in India. After issuance of revised

quidelines dated 06.07.2020 by UGC, the petitioner claims to have addressed a letter dated 07.07.2020 to Human Resource Development praying to Minister of reconsider the decision of compulsorily conducting final year examinations. Petitioner's case is that UGC had issued earlier guidelines dated 29.04.2020, which were advisory in nature and each University was to chart out its own plan of action taking into the consideration issues pertaining to COVID-19 Petitioner's case pandemic. is that revised quidelines have been passed in ignorance of rising cases of COVID-19 and have crated great fear in the minds of students around the country especially in the States of Maharashtra, West Bengal, Tamil Nadu The impugned guidelines have not taken and Delhi. into account the consequent risk of life to which the students writing examinations would be exposed to.

9. Petitioner's case further is that various States are suffering gravely from pandemic of COVID-19 and

respective State Governments have imposed/implemented various levels of lockdown under the Disaster Management Act, 2005. Petitioner pleads that as a result of the lockdown, Universities, schools, educational institutions were forced to shut down and the terminal semester/final to postpone year examinations. Petitioner pleaded that pursuant to the UGC guidelines dated 29.04.2020, the Ministry of Higher and Technical Education, State of Maharashtra had set up a State level Committee in view of the grave situation of pandemic COVID-19, which Committee submitted a report on 06.05.2020 and recommended that may be conducted between the final year exams 01.07.2020 to 31.07.2020, the said recommendations were objected by petitioner and representation was made to cancel the examinations. Petitioner also claims have made representation to a to the Government of Maharashtra requesting for not to hold examinations. 19.06.2020, the On Maharashtra vide a Government Resolution dated

- 19.06.2020 took a resolution for cancellation of the terminal semester/final year examinations considering the safety of health and life of the students and for the allotment of grades and aggregate marks to students based on their previous semester and internal marks.
- Petitioner's case is that cases of COVID-19 are 10. increasing day by day in the State of Maharashtra and many college buildings in the State of Maharashtra have been requisitioned by the State Government / its bodies like Municipal Corporation to be converted into quarantine centres and for other public purpose in view of present pandemic COVID-19, hence it is impractical to hold examinations. the Ιn petition, petitioner has also given certain details with regard to different States pertaining to number of COVID-19 cases like States of Tamil Nadu, NCT of Delhi, Gujarat, Karnataka, Uttar Pradesh, Telangana, Andhra Pradesh, West Bengal and others,

decisions taken by different States of not conducting final examinations. Petitioner also referred to and relied on judgment of this Court in Writ Petition (C) No. 566 of 2020 - Amit Bathla & Ors. Vs. Central Board of Secondary Education & Anr, where this Court noticed the notifications issued by CBSE cancelling the examinations for classes Xth/XIIth, which was scheduled from 01.07.2020 to 15.07.2020. petitioner in the writ petition has also prayed for a writ of setting aside Certiorari the impugned revised quidelines dated 06.07.2020 issued by UGC and O.M. dated 06.07.2020 issued by Ministry of Human Resource It has also prayed to clarify and Development. declare that as per UGC guidelines dated 29.04.2020, each university may chart out its own plan of action with respect terminal semester/final to year examinations taking into consideration the pertaining to the COVID-19 pandemic.

<u>Writ Petition (Civil) No. 746 of 2020 —</u> Yash Dubey and Anr. Vs. Union of India and Ors.

This writ petition has been filed by petitioner 11. No.1, a final year law student and petitioner No.2, an association of lawyers registered under Society Registration Act, 1860 namely, Youth Bar Association of India. The petitioners plead that cause of action for filing of the writ petition has arisen 06.07.2020 when Ministry of Home Affairs issued notification dated 06.07.2020 and the UGC issued revised quidelines dated 06.07.2020. The petitioners' case is that in view of increasing number of COVID-19 cases, many States like Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Punjab and Maharashtra have announced cancellation of examination of final year for promotion of the final students and year students. The petitioners further pleaded that on 11.07.2020, Tamil Nadu Government wrote a letter to HRD Minister informing that they are not in a position to conduct college examinations for the

final year students. Another letter dated 11.07.2020 by Punjab Higher Education Minister written to HRD Minister is referred where all decisions dated 06.07.2020 was asked to be reviewed, decision of Government of Delhi dated 11.07.2020 to cancel all ongoing examination have also been referred Petitioners have prayed for setting aside the notification dated 06.07.2020 issued by Ministry of Affairs and revised UGC quidelines Home 06.07.2020. The writ petitioners have also prayed for certain other payers to provide for alternative mode of assessment of the final year students in wake of COVID-19 outbreak; to call upon Universities to submit a set of parameters for evaluation of the students on the basis of students past performance and accordingly award provisional degrees to the students and to promote the students on the basis of the performance in the previous semesters by taking an aggregate score for all the semesters.

Writ Petition (Civil) No. 741 of 2020 —
West Bengal College and University Professors'
Association(WPCUPA) and Anr.Vs. Union of India & Ors.

12. This writ petition has been filed by the West Bengal College & University Professors' Association (WBCUPA) through its President. The petitioners pleaded that on 27.06.2020 in the State of West Bengal, all Vice Chancellors and Registrars of the Universities held a meeting with the Minister and arrived at a consensus for alternate method of marking of final semester examination in the State and decided to declare the result by 31.07.2020. A dated 27.06.2020 issued by the memorandum was Government of West Bengal, Department of Education to the above effect. Petitioners case is revised quidelines is that UGC in abject contravention of students' welfare since by the time these examinations through special chance will be conducted most of the Universities have closed their admission application for postgraduate courses. With

the continuous spike in COVID-19 cases in the entire country including the State of West Bengal, situation will not at all be conducive to conduct offline examination by 30.09.2020. The petitioner also refers to letter dated 11.07.2020 written by Chief Minister of West Bengal to Hon'ble Prime Minister requesting to get the matter re-examined and restore the earlier advisory of UGC dated 29.04.2020. have also referred to Petitioners various representations made by various Universities State of West Bengal to UGC to reconsider decision to hold examinations. Petitioners in writ petition has prayed for Mandamus commanding the respondent No.1 to forthwith rescind and/or cancel and/or withdraw the letter dated 06.07.2020.

<u>Writ Petition (Civil) No. 745 of 2020 —</u> <u>Krushna Govind Waghmare and Ors. Vs. University Grant</u> Commission and Ors.

13. This writ petition has been filed by five petitioners, who are final year law students of

various educational institutions affiliated to Universities of Maharashtra. Petitioners' case is that UGC before issuing the revised guidelines have considered the deadly COVID-19 pandemic. not Petitioners have also referred to cancellation of Xth and XIIth examinations by CBSE and ICSE. Petitioners prayed for quashing the guidelines have dated 06.07.2020 and has further prayed that this Court may be pleased to grant the benefit of decision dated 19.06.2020 (State of Maharashtra) to the students of professional courses and necessary directions to the respondent State may also be issued.

Writ Petition (Civil) No. 794 of 2020 —
Sarthak Mehta and Ors. Vs. University Grants
Commission (UGC) and Ors.

14. This writ petition has been filed by three petitioners. Petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 are advocates and petitioner No. 3 is a final year law student

studying in Pune. Petitioners' case is that earlier UGC quidelines dated 29.04.2020 left the decision to take or not to take the examinations of the students with the Universities keeping in view the spread of COVID-19 whereas impugned guidelines dated 06.07.2020 made it compulsory for the Universities final year examinations conduct by the September, 2020 irrespective of the spread of COVID-19 in different regions/States. Petitioners' case is that impugned guidelines is ultra vires to Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution. Petitioners have also prayed for quashing the guidelines dated 06.07.2020 and for quashing the O.M. dated 06.07.2020 of Ministry of Human Resource Development and letter dated 06.07.2020 and it has been further prayed that result of students be declared on the semester/year previous performance/internal evaluation.

Writ Petition (Civil) No. 814 of 2020 — Ritesh Anil Mahajan and Ors. Vs. The Maharashtra State Disaster Management Authority and Ors.

15. This petition has been filed by four petitioners out of which three are students and fourth petitioner is member of Senate of University at Jalgaon elected from the graduate's constituency. The State Disaster Management Authority of the State of Maharashtra has been impleaded as respondent No.1, State of Maharashtra as respondent No.2 and UGC as respondent The petitioners plead that the Ministry of No.3. Higher and Technical Education of the State of Maharashtra set up a State-level Committee headed by the Vice-Chancellor, Mumbai University in view of grave situation created by COVID-19 pandemic. The Committee submitted its report 06.05.2020 on recommending that the final year exams be conducted between 01.07.2020 to 31.07.2020. The statement of Chief Minister dated 31.05.2020 has been referred to

will where he declared that no examinations conducted for final year students and all students will be given marks by averaging the marks obtained in the previous semester examinations. The Disaster Management Authority in its meeting dated 18.06.2020 took various decisions resolving that taking into consideration the state of COVID-19 in the State of Maharashtra, examination of final year professional courses cannot be arranged. With regard to non-professional courses, decision was also taken for declaring their result as per decision taken in The State of Maharashtra issued a the meeting. resolution dated 19.06.2020 regarding nonprofessional and professional courses, the methodology for declaring the result. The petitioners are challenging the decision taken by State Disaster Management Authority dated 18.06.2020 as well as the resolution of the State of Maharashtra dated 19.06.2020 and have prayed for setting aside the aforesaid two decisions.

Writ Petition (Civil) No. 861 of 2020 — Souvik Pal Vs. The State of West Bengal

16. This petition has been filed by a final year student studying in a College of B.Sc. State University of West Bengal. The petitioner challenging the decision dated 27.06.2020 issued by State Government of West Bengal regarding the undergraduate and postgraduate examinations, 2020. The State of West Bengal vide its decision dated 27.06.2020 issued an advisory to the effect that for evaluation of students in terminal the semester /final of the General Degree year courses at undergraduate/postgraduate level, 80% weightage shall be given to the best aggregate percentage obtained by any of the candidate in the previous semesters'/years' results and 20% to internal during the current semester/year assessment adopted by the university. The petitioner in the writ petition has prayed for quashing the order dated 27.06.2020 and also prayed for a direction to the

State of West Bengal and State Universities to comply with the UGC's revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020, O.M. of Ministry of HRD dated 06.07.2020 and UGC's letter dated 08.07.2020.

Writ Petition (Civil) No. 862 of 2020 — Kalicharam Gajbhiye and Anr. Vs. The Maharashtra State Disaster Management Authority and Ors.

17. This writ petition has been filed by two students, who are studying in a University in the State of Maharashtra. Petitioners have challenged the decision dated 18.06.2020 of the Maharashtra State Disaster Management Authority as well as the decision of the Government of Maharashtra dated 19.06.2020 and of decision dated 13.07.2020 the subsequent Maharashtra State Disaster Management Authority and further prayer was made that State of Maharashtra and State Universities therein be requested to comply with the UGC's revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020,

O.M. of HRD Ministry dated 06.07.2020 and UGC's letter dated 08.07.2020.

SLP(C)No.10042(Diary No. 15056) of 2020 — Kajal Mishra and Ors. Vs. Union of India and Ors.

18. This special leave petition has been filed by six petitioners challenging the judgment and common order dated 14.07.2020of the Division Bench of High Court of Delhi in Writ Petition (C) No. 3199 of 2020 -Prateek Sharma and Anr. Vs. Union of India and Anr. with other connected writ petitions. The petitioners were not party in the writ petition before the High Court. The High Court in its order dated 14.07.2020 noticed that entire scheme of examination has to be worked out afresh by the Delhi University and dates for conducting examinations of various undergraduate courses to be finalized. The Delhi High Court directed the University to issue a notification at earliest placing on the record the the revised schedule of the examination. The writ petition

before the Delhi High Court is still pending and in pursuance of order dated 14.07.2020 the examinations Book Examination (OBE) mode had already in Petitioners' case is that in batch of commenced. writ petitions filed in the Delhi High Court, the examination by online mode was conduct of also challenged. The petitioners plead that other Universities are evaluating their final year students through internal assessment and the students of Delhi University shall be deprived of the equal opportunity in respect of admission and post graduate employment opportunities etc.

19. In the writ petitions although no formal notice was issued but, in all writ petitions the respondents have appeared through counsel(except W.P.No.739 of 2020). In Writ Petition No.739 of 2020 all the States and Union Territories were impleaded as respondents in addition to University Grants Commission as respondent No.1, Ministry of Human Resource

Development, respondent No.2, Ministry of Home Affairs, respondent No.3. The State of Maharashtra and NCT of Delhi appeared through their counsel and filed affidavits. The State of Orissa has appeared through its Advocate General. We have not issued notice to all the States who were impleaded in Petition No.739 of 2020. Writ The State of Maharashtra, State of West Bengal, NCT of Delhi and State of Orissa have sufficiently presented the stand of the States and Union Territories. The States/UTs have communicated the Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India that they are unable to hold the examination due to spread of COVID-19. Before us the cause of States, power of States and States' Disaster Management Authority have sufficiently represented. We are, thus, of the view that for deciding this batch of cases it is not necessary to issue notice to all the States and Union Territories and the issues raised can be decided after hearing the respondents, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India, State of Maharashtra, State of West Bengal, NCT of Delhi and State of Orissa. We, thus, proceed to consider the submissions raised to decide the matter on merits.

- 20. As indicated above in Writ Petition No.724 of 2020 pleadings are complete and in Writ Petition No.739 of 2020 convenience compilation in two volumes has been filed by the learned counsel for the petitioners. It shall be sufficient to refer the pleadings in Writ Petition No.724 of 2020 and convenience compilations for deciding all the issues raised before us.
- 21. For the writ petitioners, we have heard Dr.

 Abhishek Manu Singhvi, Senior Advocate, Shri Shyam

 Divan, Senior Advocate, Shri Jaideep Gupta, Senior

 Advocate, Shri Vinay Navare, Senior Advocate, Shri

Kishore Lambat, Shri Alakh Alok Srivastava and other learned counsel.

- 22. heard Shri Tushar We have Mehta, learned solicitor General for University Grants Commission. have heard Shri Arvind Datar, learned senior Wе counsel for the State of Maharashtra, Shri K.V. Vishwanathan, learned senior counsel for the Government of NCT of Delhi, Shri Ashok Parija, Advocate-General, for the State of Odisha, Kishore Dutta, learned Advocate General for the State of West Bengal. Ms. Meenakshi Arora, learned senior appeared for the petitioner counsel has in SLP(C)Diary No.15056 of 2020.
- 23. Dr. Abhishek Manu Singhvi appearing for the petitioner in Writ Petitioner in W.P.(C)No.746 of 2020 submits that revised UGC Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 are in complete disagreement and have been issued in complete disregard with the earlier

quidelines dated 29.04.2020. The quidelines 29.04.2020 were advisory in nature and provided flexibility to the Universities implement to quidelines in the best interest of students. The quidelines provided that in case the pandemic situation does not normalise the grading can be on the basis of internal evaluation and past performance of the student. Various State Governments including State of Maharashtra, State of West Bengal, NCT of Delhi and other States have expressed their inability to organise the examination in the wake of increase in COVID cases in the respective States. The deadline 30.09.2020 is unrealistic and unattainable. most of the Colleges/Universities/ Institutions have into COVID Health been converted Care Centres. Therefore, conducting of exams through offline mode will entail a huge risk of transmission of virus, it will be absolutely unjust to neglect the problems of adopting uniform online mode of exams and also the infrastructural disparities. The office memorandum

issued by the Ministry of Human Resource Development dated 06.07.2020 is itself flawed and in complete disregard to the Ministry of Home Affairs guidelines dated 29.07.2020, which provide that in areas outside Containment Zones, all activities will permitted, except the Schools, Colleges, Educational and Coaching Institutions will remain closed till 31.08.2020. Section 72 of the Disaster Management Act, 2005 provides that decisions taken and orders issued thereunder will have overriding effect. If a decision is taken by the appropriate authority under Act, 2005 regarding non-holding of examination, the same will operate and hold the field despite the provisions of the UGC Act. Section 12 of the UGC Act mandates that quidelines need to be framed consultation with the Universities. All Universities consulted before issuing the impugned were not guidelines.

24. Dr. Singhvi has also referred to and relied on the decision taken 13.07.2020 by the on Management Authority of Disaster the State of Maharashtra where decision was taken not to conduct the examination in the current circumstances. Dr. Singhvi submits that right to life and health is the under Article 21 right quaranteed of the Constitution. Conducting of the examination involves huge amount of travel, huge use of public transport which are not possible in the present state affairs in the various States including the State of The present pandemic Maharashtra. is special situation which is state neutral. The University Grants Commission Act and the guidelines framed thereunder shall not have overriding effect on the action under the Act, 2005. The Disaster Management Act being a latter and special Act shall operate. He further submits that the guidelines dated 06.07.2020 are manifestly arbitrary and liable to be set aside on this ground alone.

25. Shri Shyam Divan, learned senior counsel, appearing for the petitioner in Writ Petition No.739 of 2020 submits that elevate to human life, fundamental norms have been engrafted in the regime of Disaster Management Act. There are decentralized units which may apply structured standard. He submits that students, teachers and their respective families are all homogeneous groups, they cannot be treated differently for the purpose of conducting final year/terminal semester exams by the UGC. Shri Divan, learned counsel for the petitioner referring to the Ministry of Home Affairs order dated 15.04.2020 contends that prohibited activities included "all educational, training, coaching institutions etc. remain closed". He submits that the shall said prohibition is still continued and is operating till 31.08.2020 which does not permit holding of any exam. Referring to the earlier guidelines dated 29.04.2020, Shri Divan submits that the guidelines were advisory

in nature and there was flexibility at local level in the guidelines whereas the revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020 makes it compulsory to complete examination before 30.09.2020. Revised guidelines disregard the health factor. There is no statement in the revised guidelines that COVID-19 situation has improved.

26. Reverting to the Disaster Management Act, Shri Divan submits that disaster is still continuing, the State authorities under Disaster Management Act are equally empowered to take measures. Shri Divan further submits that letter issued by Ministry of Home Affairs permitting holding of examination cannot supersede the statutory provisions. There are issues of lack of appropriate infrastructure for conducting online examination, the impugned guidelines violate students and their families. the right of The guidelines are impractical and unclear. The order issued under the Disaster Management Act shall override the revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020. The

revised guidelines are manifestly arbitrary, inappropriate and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India. The writ petitioner is an organisation which works towards the betterment of educational facilities for the students of India. The petitioner has written to Ministry of Human Resource Development on 07.07.2020 praying to reconsider the revised guidelines issued by the Ministry.

27. Shri Arvind Datar, learned senior counsel appearing for the State of Maharashtra, submits that UGC has no legislative competence with regard to conduct of examination. It is submitted that revised quidelines have been issued under University Grants Commission Act, 1956 which is referable to Entry 66 of of List the Seventh Schedule of Ι the Constitution, which is confined to "co-ordination and determination of standards". Shri Datar placed reliance on the Constitution Bench judgment of this Court in Modern Dental College and Research Centre

and others vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and others, (2016) 7 SCC 353. Shri Datar submits that UGC can lay down only the qualification. Shri Datar submits that not holding final examination and awarding Degree on the basis of earlier semester's performance is not diluting the standards of education in any manner. The students have completed five semesters (in the State of Maharashtra) by March, 2020 and for final semester internal assessment is also over, hence, the students could have been promoted on the basis of earlier assessments and there is nothing arbitrary in giving Degree to the students on the basis of earlier results. The directions of UGC to hold examination by 30.09.2020 is completely beyond the power of UGC. Revised quidelines do not take into consideration the different situations of different States. In the State of Maharashtra situation is grave in view of phenomenal increase in the COVID-19 The cases. University Grants Commission cannot fix the date for holding examination. In the city of Pune itself which

is the hub of the education more than half of the students have left for their home and hostels have vacated. There are about 7.35 been lacs nonprofessional and 2.84 lacs are professional students, public transport being not in operation it students difficult for the reach to at the examination centres. Revised guidelines issued by the UGC are violative of Article 14 because they apply throughout the India and give one fix date, i.e., 30.09.2020 irrespective of the conditions prevailing in the State.

28. Shri Datar further submits that guidelines are violative of Section 12 of the University Grants Commission Act, 1956. Section 12 requires consultation with various Universities and other bodies. Other bodies shall include State Disaster Management Authority. There has been no consultation as per Section 12. The State of Maharashtra was not consulted before issuing the revised guidelines, the

guidelines are, thus, not in accordance with Section 12. Shri Datar submits that provisions of Disaster Management Act will have overriding effect. He placed reliance on Section 72 of the Act, 2005. Section 72 shall override not only the provisions of Maharashtra University Act but also University Grants Commission Act, 1956 and also the decision taken and orders issued under Act, 1956. In the circumstances decision taken by the State Disaster Management Authority in the State of Maharashtra in not holding examination operate and hold the field despite shall the provisions of UGC Act and the revised guidelines. Shri Datar has also referred to Section 18 and 24 of Act, 2005 and submits that earlier Guidelines dated 29.04.2020 were advisery in nature. Shri Datar has referred also UGC (Minimum Standards to Instruction for the Grant of the First Degree through Formal Education) Regulations, 2003. The proposal of Maharashtra Government to grant Degree on the basis of first five semesters and internal assessment is in

accordance with Regulations, 2003. Shri Datar has referred to and relied on the Government Resolution dated 19.06.2020 as well as the decision dated 18.06.2020 of State Disaster Management Authority.

29. Shri Ashok Parija, learned Advocate General for the State of Odisha adopts the submission of Shri Arvind Datar. He submits that it is not possible to hold the final examination by 30.09.2020. Shri Parija submits that there are several reasons which make it impossible to take physical examination in the present scenario. The public transport is not functioning, Schools and Colleges are closed from 25.03.2020 and students have gone back to their native places. Several Colleges are presently being used by the District Administrations as Quarantine Centres, COVID Care Home, COVID Care Centre, COVID Care Hospital, etc. COVID-19 infection is spreading rapidly in the State of Odisha. It is not feasible to conduct online examination also since most of the

students belong to the lower and medium income group and do not have desktop or laptop or decent smart The Minister, Ministry of phone at home. Odisha Education, Government of has issued instructions for adopting alternative procedure for undergraduate or post-graduate final year or final semester students which is in consonance with UGC guidelines dated 29.04.2020. To await indefinitely conducting of examination shall for delay the academic calendar.

30. Shri Jaideep Gupta, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner in Writ Petition No.741 of 2020 submits that on 27.06.2020 an advisory was issued by the State of Bengal to the effect that students in terminal semester/final year of General Degree under-Graduate/postcourses at Graduate level, 80% weightage should be considered on the basis of the best aggregate percentage obtained the candidates in any of the previous by

semesters'/years' results and 20% on internal assessment during the current semester/year. The result of final year/semester would be declared by 31.07.2020.

31. Shri Gupta submits that UGC guidelines dated 06.07.2020 is not a statutory document but it is an submits executive instruction. Не that it State to hold unreasonable to direct the the examination by 30.09.2020. He submits that in the State of West Bengal most of the Universities are not the Campus University but a large number of Colleges are affiliated and local trains and metros are not working. Several districts are also affected by Super Amphan. Hе submitted that physical Cyclone no examination is possible in the State of West Bengal. digital infrastructure. There is lack of The guidelines are violative of Section 12 of Act, 1956 since relevant fact is not taken into consideration.

Section 12 of the UGC Act requires consultation which means effective consultation.

- 32. Shri Kishore Dutta, learned Advocate General, has appeared for the State of West Bengal. Shri Dutta submits that UGC has not taken into consideration the pandemic. He submits that public health has to be taken into consideration. He has also referred to Article 39(e),41, 45, 46 and 47 of the Constitution of India. He submits that every State has peculiar problems and UGC could not have taken a decision without consulting the States.
- 33. Shri K.V. Vishwanathan, learned senior counsel for NCT of Delhi submits that on 11.07.2020, Deputy Chief Minister wrote that because of pandemic, examination cannot be held. He submits that online infrastructure was also not sufficient. Shri Vishwanathan submits that Entry 66 of List I of 7th Schedule has no role to play. The students have no

access to the books, online has its own shortcomings. The guidelines dated 29.04.2020 were only advisory and now guidelines dated 06.07.2020 have been made submits that quidelines compulsory. He 06.07.2020 has no statutory force. Shri Vishwanathan submits that there is no rational distinction between pre-final or final examination and it is easier to for final examination. evolve mechanism Shri Vishwanathan submits that this Court may consider for appointing an independent commission for exploring the solution.

34. Shri Alakh Alok Srivastava, counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that guidelines dated 06.07.2020 have been issued in violation of Section 12. He submits that words "other bodies" occurring in Section 12 means health experts also. He submits that there was no pan-India consultation before issuing guidelines. He further submits that the guidelines issued under Section 12 are only advisory. Referring

to Section 14 of UGC Act, he submits that UGC has right only to stop the grant. He submits that Section 22 right of conferring or granting degrees shall be exercised only by a University, who is authorised to confer the Degrees.

- Referring to Regulation 6.3 of Regulation 6 of 35. 2003 Regulations, Shri Srivastava submits that nature final examination, whether written or oral or both, in respect of each course, ought to have been made known to the students at the beginning of the academic session. He submits that there is violation of Article 14 of the Constitution. Shri Srivastava has submitted that criteria as suggested by the State Madhya Pradesh which is at page 463 of of compilation Volume ΙΙ should be accepted necessary direction be issued accordingly.
- 36. Shri Kishor Lambat, counsel appearing in Writ Petition No.745 of 2020 submitted that when not even

50% syllabus is complete how the examination can be held. The Bar Council of India has resolved to postpone the All India Bar Examination keeping in view the present pandemic. UGC has not taken opinions and advice of relevant bodies. Online examination is not feasible in the present situation.

appearing in SLP, filed against the order of the Delhi High Court contends that present system of online examination does not provide a level playing field, left over students will be given chance, it will delay the whole process. She submitted that Delhi High Court in issuing impugned order dated 14.07.2020 has not considered the challenges to the online examination. She further does not dispute that in pursuance of the impugned direction of the Delhi High Court online examinations have commenced by the Delhi University.

38. Shri P.S. Narasimha has appeared for the writ petitioners, the students, who prayed for the enforcement of UGC guidelines dated 06.07.2020. submits that majority of students want examination to be held. He submits that under-Graduate Degree is minimum qualification for various employment and the final examination when takes place then students are granted the Degree which is most relevant for grading the students. Final evaluation for the students who want to go abroad is necessary. The students must have chance to improve in final year examination. Shri Narasimha submits that University has time to cope with the health situation. He submits that in the pandemic life has to go on, thus, methods have to be found. The methodology of evaluation is a part of standard of education which is in the domain of the UGC. He submits that conduct of final examination is necessary.

39. Shri Vinay Navare, learned senior counsel who appears for the writ petitioners who have challenged the decision of the State Disaster Management Authority of the State of Maharashtra and have prayed for enforcement of the guidelines dated 06.07.2020 submits that holding of examination is legal, ethical and academic. He submits that the students saying for conferring the Degree without holding examination should not be heard under Article 32. The Government cannot say that examination be not held. He submits that earlier in the State of Maharashtra Vice Chancellors have taken a decision to hold final year examination which was made a political issue by Yuva Sena. He submits that there is no power in the deciding that Degree be given without State in examination. He submits that the State has no power conduct direction to issue any not to any examination. Shri Navare, however, has fairly submitted that the date 30.09.2020 has be moderated in the peculiar situation of a State.

40. Shri Mehta, learned Solicitor General Tushar appearing for University Grants Commission submits that judicial review of the guidelines of the UGC dated 06.07.2020 is permissible only on grounds. He submits that there are no sufficient grounds to grant judicial review to the decision of the UGC. He has referred to UGC guidelines dated the 29.04.2020 and submitted that schedule of conducting of examination was already mentioned in the guidelines. He submitted that the State level committee founded by the Minister, Higher Technical Education for Government of Maharashtra has submitted report dated 06.05.2020 where it was recommended that final examination be held. He submits that the State has also accepted the above recommendations. Referring to 06.07.2020 decision of Ministry of Home Affairs, Shri Mehta submits that if authority has power to do something, the form is not material. He submits that under UGC guidelines dated 06.07.2020

only final year examinations have to be held which is a reasonable recommendation and there being option of offline, online and hybrid mode, the reasonable flexibility was provided, sufficient time was also quidelines in the dated 06.07.2020 conducting the examination and under the guidelines an opportunity was given to any student who fails to appear, to sit in special examination even after 30.09.2020 which was reasonable and protected the interest of the students. He submits that the order dated 06.07.2020 issued by Ministry of Human Resource Development, quidelines for conducting examination after application of issued mind and due were consideration of ground situation. The standard operating procedures for conducting examination were vetted by the Ministry of Family Health and Welfare. date 30.09.2020 was fixed for completion The examination in the larger interest of the students to take care of the future prospects of the students. Referring to the order dated 29.07.2020 issued by the

Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India and the quidelines providing that any area outside the zone, School, Colleges and containment Coaching Institutions shall remain closed till 31.08.2020, he submit that it could not come in the way of conducting examination since the Ministry of already Affairs have granted exemption for conducting the examination despite the closure of Schools, Colleges and Coaching Institutions. Shri Mehta submits that there are large number Universities in the entire country who have conducted their examinations and several Universities proceeding with the holding of the examination. It is only the few States who have not conducted the Mehta submits that University examination. Shri Grants Act is referable to Entry 66 List I of 7th Schedule and no contrary decision of the State can stand in its way. Referring to Regulations, 2003, Shri Mehta submits that as per Regulations which are statutory, the Universities are obliged to adopt the

guidelines issued by the UGC. Referring to the decisions of Ministry of Home Affairs, Shri Mehta submits that in the case of National disaster, Centre has taken care of and in the given set of facts the State can give suggestion to change the schedule i.e. change the deadline to hold the examination i.e. 30.09.2020. He submits that deadline was issued in the interest of the students.

Additional Solicitor General has appeared. Shri Raju submits that under the guidelines issued along with the order of the Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs which prohibited opening of Schools, Colleges and Institutions till 31.08.2020, there is no prohibition in any manner in conduct of the examination. He submits that closure of the Schools, Colleges and Institutions has nothing to do with the conduct of the examinations are conducted only after teaching is

over i.e. after Colleges are closed. He further submitted that it is not necessary that the examination must be held where teaching is imparted or where attendance took place. It can also take place in hall unconnected with the Schools, Colleges and Institutions where the teaching was imparted. He submits that the Ministry of Home Affairs has duly examined the request of Ministry of Human Resource Development and respondent on 06.07.2020, taking into consideration the academic interest of large number of students it was decided to permit the conduct of final examinations.

42. Learned counsel for the parties have referred to and relied on several judgments of this Court which shall be referred to while considering the submissions of the parties.

- 43. We have considered the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record.
- 44. From the submissions of the parties following issues arise for consideration:
 - (1) Whether the revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020 requiring the Universities to complete terminal semester/final year examination by 30.09.2020 is beyond the domain of the UGC and does not relate to "co-ordination and determination of standards in institution of higher education"?
 - (2) Whether the revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020 issued by the UGC are non-statutory, advisory only and contrary to earlier guidelines dated 29.04.2020?

- (3) Whether the UGC guidelines dated 06.07.2020 are violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India?
- (4) Whether the UGC guidelines dated 06.07.2020 are violative of Article 21 of the Constitution of India and the guidelines have been issued disregarding the pandemic COVID-19?
- (5) Whether the guidelines of the UGC dated 06.07.2020 are liable to be set aside on the ground of non-compliance of Section 12 of UGC Act, 1956?
- (6) Whether the State and State's Disaster Management Authority in exercise jurisdiction under Disaster Management Act, 2005 can take a decision not to hold examination by 30.09.2020 disregarding the direction in the UGC guidelines 06.07.2020?

(7) Whether the State or State Disaster Authority, in exercise Management of jurisdiction under Act, 2005, can take decision to award degrees to year/final semester students by promoting them on the basis of criteria of assessment formulated by the State/Universities on the result of previous semesters/exams internal assessment of final year/terminal semester in disregard to the quidelines dated 06.07.2020 which require holding of examination of final year/terminal semester by 30.09.2020?

Issue No.1

We, in the present batch of cases are concerned with examinations by the Universities and the degrees to be conferred to graduates and postgraduates. A University is an institution of higher education. Education plays a very significant role in

development of personality of an individual as well in the progress and development of a country. After independence of our country, looking to the pivotal role of higher education, the Government of India constituted a Commission known as "University Education Commission" with Dr. S. Radhakrishnan as Chairman. The Commission submitted a report, which mentioned "Universities the organs as of Civilisation". The report emphasised on the need for higher standards in Universities dealing with standards of teaching and examinations. The Commission recorded its views in the following words:-

"The need for High Standards.

Introduction - It is the primary duty of university to maintain the highest standards of its teaching and examinations. A university is a place of higher education where the personality and capacities of the students are developed to the utmost by teachers who should themselves be at work the frontiers of knowledge in their respective fields. of The success university is to be judged as much by the type of graduate it turns out as by the

amount and quality of research contributed by its teachers and research students. must be clearly recognized that there is no involved conflict between the function of a university to educate its members and to advance the frontiers knowledge - the two functions are, in fact, complementary. Unless high standards teaching and examinations are maintained, research will suffer, since research can continue uninterruptedly only if there is a regular supply of graduates well prepared general education specialized by for work. research On the other hand. research is neglected by teachers, their teaching will lack vitality and will rapidly become stale. A degree must always be what a university makes it by the kind of teaching it imparts and the type intellectual and social life it provides for its members. If our universities are to be the makers of future leaders of thought and action in the country, as they should our degrees must connote a high standard of scholarly achievement in our graduates."

46. The Parliament enacted the University Grants Commission Act, 1956 (hereinafter referred to as "UGC Act, 1956") to make provision for the coordination and determination of standards in Universities and for that purpose to establish a University Grants Commission. The UGC Act, 1956 is referable to Entry

66 of List I of Seventh Schedule of the Constitution which provides as under:-

"66. Co-ordination and determination of standards in institutions for higher education or research and scientific and technical institutions."

47. The education including Universities both in Government of India Act, 1935 and the Constitution of India was a State subject. Entry 11 in the State List prior to Constitution (Forty-second Amendment) Act, 1976 provided:-

"......Education including Universities, subject to the provisions of Entries 63, 64, 65 and 66 of List I and Entry 25 of List III".

48. By Constitution (Forty-second Amendment) Act, 1976 w.e.f. 03.01.1977, Entry 11 from List II was omitted and was transferred and combined with subject of Entry 25 of List III. Entry 25 List III as after amendment by Constitution (Forty-second Amendment) Act, 1976 is to the following effect:-

- "25. Education, including technical education, medical education and universities, subject to the provisions of entries 63, 64, 65 and 66 of List I; vocational and technical training of labour."
- Education including university education, thus, 49. concurrent subject where both is now a State legislature as well as Parliament have legislative competence. Entry 11 of List II as existed prior to Constitution (Forty-second Amendment) Act, 1976 as well as Entry 25 of List III is subject to the provisions of Entry 66 of List I, which is the Constitutional Scheme delineated by Seventh Schedule of the Constitution of India. The inter-play with regard to legislation by State referable to earlier Entry 11 of List II as well as Entry 25 of List III Entry 66 of List I came with that of for consideration before this Court in several cases. The Constitution Bench of this Court in Gujarat Shri Krishna Ranganath University and Anr. Vs. Mudholkar and Ors., AIR 1963 SC 703 laid down that

although there may be overlapping between a State Legislation referable to Entry 11 of List II and Parliament legislation referable to Entry 66 List I but to the extent of overlapping the power conferred by Item 66 of List I must prevail over power of the State. In paragraph 23 of the judgment, the Constitution Bench Laid down:-

"......Use of the expression "subject to" in item 11 of List II of the Seventh Schedule clearly indicates that legislation in respect of excluded matters cannot be undertaken by the State Legislatures. Hingir-Rampur Coal Co. Ltd. Vs. State of Orissa [1961] 2 SCR 537: (AIR 1961 SC 459), this Court in considering the import of the expression "subject to" used in an entry in List II, in relation to an entry in List I that the observed to extent of restriction imposed by the use expression "subject to" in an entry in List II, the power is taken away from the State Legislature. Power of the State legislate in respect of education including Universities must to the extent to which it is entrusted the Union to Parliament, whether such power is exercised or not, be deemed to be restricted...."

A Constitution Bench of this Court in Dr. Preeti 50. Srivastava and Anr. Vs. State of M.P. and Ors., (1999) 7 SCC 120 had occasion to consider the interplay between Entry 66 of List I and that of Entry 25 of List III. The Constitution Bench had occasion to consider a Government order dated 11.10.1994 issued by the State of Uttar Pradesh where for admission in Post Graduate Medical Entrance Examination percentage 45% marks was fixed for the general category candidates, cut-off for reserved category candidates, i.e., Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes etc., was fixed at 35% and thereafter, by another G.O. dated 31.8.1995 the State of Uttar Pradesh completely did away with a cut-off percentage of marks in respect of category candidates, reserved which the was challenged before this Court. This Court held that laying down minimum qualifying marks while for admission to the Post Graduate Courses, it was not open to the State Government to say that there will be no minimum qualifying marks for the reserved

category candidates in Dr. Sadhna Devi and Ors. Vs. State of U.P. and Ors., (1997) 3 SCC 90. The State of U.P. issued an ordinance on 15.01.1997 revising the minimum qualifying marks for the reserved category 20%, which ordinance was candidates from 35% to challenged before this Court by means of writ petition under Article 32. Similarly, State of Madhya Pradesh also by Government Order directed the minimum qualifying marks for the reserved category candidates be fixed 20% for Scheduled Casts and 15% for Scheduled Tribes, which was also under challenge. This court in the above context had occasion to consider the Regulations framed under the Medical Council Act, 1956, a Parliamentary legislation, which Regulation provided standard of qualification for admission in a medical course. There being conflict between the criteria fixed by the State of U.P. and State of M.P. and those fixed by Regulations under Indian Medical Council Act, the controversy was finally determined by the Constitution Bench, in paragraph 35, following was laid down:-

"35. The legislative competence of the Parliament and the legislatures of the States to make laws under Article 246 is regulated by the VIIth Schedule to the Constitution. In the VIIth Schedule as originally in force. Entry 11 of List-II gave to the States an exclusive power to legislate on

"education including universities subject to the provisions of retries 63, 64, 65 and 66 of List-I and Entry 25 of List-III".

Entry 11 of List-II was deleted and Entry 25 of List-III was amended with effect from 3-1-1976 as a result of the Constitution 42nd Amendment Act of 1976. The present Entry 25 in the Concurrent List is as follows:

"25. Education, including technical education, medical education and universities, subject to the provisions of entries 63, 64, 65 and 66 list-I: vocational and technical training of labour."

Entry 25 is subject, inter alia, to Entry 66 of List-I. Entry 66 of List-I is as follows:

"66. Co-ordination and determination of standards in

institutions for higher education or research and scientific and technical institutions."

Both the Union as well as the States have the power to legislate on education including medical education, subject, inter alia, to Entry 66 of List-I which deals with laying down standards in institutions higher education for or research scientific and technical institutions also co-ordination of such standards. State has, therefore, the right to control education including medical education long as the field is not occupied by any Union Legislation. Secondly, the cannot, while controlling education in the State, impinge on standards in intuitions for higher education. Because this exclusively within the purview of the Union Therefore, while prescribing Government. criteria for admission the to institutions for higher education including higher medical education, the State cannot adversely affect the standards laid down by the Union of India under Entry 66 of List-I. Secondly, while considering the cases on subject it is the also necessary that remember from 1977 education alia. including, inter medical and university education, is now in the List so that the Union Concurrent can legislate on admission criteria also. If it does so, the State will not be able to legislate in this field, except as provided in Article 254."

- on different aspects of "standards of education".

 This Court held that the standards of examination is also one of the relevant factor in standards of education. In paragraph 36, following has been laid down:-
 - "36. It would not be correct to say for admission have the norms connection with the standard of education, or that the rules for admission are covered only by Entry 25 of List-III. Norms of admission can have a direct impact on the standards of education. Of course, there be rules for admission which consistent with or do not affect adversely the standards of education prescribed by the Union in exercise of powers under Entry 66 of List-I. For example, a State may, for admission to the post-graduate medical courses, lay down qualifications addition to those prescribed under Entry 66 of List-I. This would be consistent with promoting higher standards for admission to the higher educational courses. But any lowering of the norms laid down can, and do have an adverse affect on the standards of education in the institutes of higher Standards of education in an education. institution or college depend on various factors. Some of these are:
 - (1) The calibre of the teaching staff;

- (2) A proper syllabus designed to achieve a high level of education in the given span of time;
 - (3) The student-teacher ratio;
- (4) The ratio between the students and the hospital beds available to each student;
- (5) The calibre of the students admitted to the institution;
- (6) Equipment and laboratory facilities, or hospital facilities for training in the case of medical colleges;
- (7) Adequate accommodation for the college and the attached hospital; and
- (8) The standard of examinations held including the manner in which the papers are set and examined and the clinical performance is judged."
- 52. A Three Judge Bench of this Court had occasion to consider all legislative entries pertaining to education including University education in Professor Yashpal and Anr. Vs. State of Chhattisgarh and Ors., (2005) 5 SCC 420. This court laid down following in paragraphs 33, 34 and 35:-

- **"33.** The consistent and settled view of this Court, therefore, is that in spite of of Universities incorporation as legislative head being in the State List, the whole gamut of the University which will include teaching, quality of education imparted, curriculum, standard of being evaluation examination and and research activity being carried on will not within the purview of the legislature on account of a specific Entry on co- ordination and determination standards in institutions for higher education or research and scientific and technical education being in the Union List for which the Parliament alone competent. It is the responsibility of the Parliament to ensure that proper standards are maintained in institutions for higher education or research throughout country and also uniformity in standards is maintained.
- 34. order to achieve the Ιn aforesaid purpose, the Parliament has enacted the University Grants Commission Act. First of of the Statement Objects Reasons of the University Grants Commission "UGC 1956 (for short Act") illustrative and consequently it is being reproduced below:

Constitution of "The India Parliament with exclusive authority regard to 'co-ordination and determination of standards in institutions for higher education or scientific research and and technical institutions'. Ιt is

obvious that neither co-ordination nor determination of standards possible unless the Central Government has voice in the some determination of standards of teaching examination in and Universities, both old and new. is also necessary to ensure that the available resources are utilized to the effect. best possible The problem has become more acute recently on account of the tendency to multiply Universities. The need for a properly constituted Commission for determining allocating Universities to funds available made by the Central Government has also become more urgent on this account."

- 35. In the second para it is said that the Commission will also have the power recommend to any University the measures necessary for the reform and improvement of University education and to advise University concerned upon the action to be taken for the purpose of implementing such recommendation. The Commission will act as expert body to advise the Central Government on problems connected with the ordination of facilities coand maintenance of standards in Universities."
- 53. In Maa Vaishno Devi Mahila Mahavidyalaya Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and Ors., (2013) 2 SCC 617,

this Court had occasion to consider the provisions of National Council for Teacher Education Act, 1993 and the role of the State and Universities in the above regard. In paragraph 59, this court held that NCTE is constituted under the Central Act with the responsibility of maintaining standard of education hence the State and Universities cannot lay down any guideline or policy which would be in conflict with the Central statute or the standards laid down by the Central body. In paragraph 59, following has been laid down:-

"59. The above enunciated principles clearly show that the Council is authority constituted under the Central Act responsibility of maintaining the education of standards and judging upon the facilities infra-structure and available for imparting such professional education. Its opinion is of utmost importance and shall take precedence over the views of the State as well as that of the University. The concerned Department of the State and the affiliating University have a role to play but it is limited in its application. They cannot lay down any quideline or policy which would be in conflict with the Central statute or the standards laid down by the Central body. State can frame its

policy for admission to such professional courses but such policy again has to be in conformity with the directives issued by the Central body. In the present cases, there is not much conflict on this issue, but it needs to be clarified that while the State grants its approval, and University its affiliation, for increased intake of commencement of or а new course/college, its directions should not offend and be repugnant to what has been laid down in the conditions for approval by the Central authority granted Council. What is most important is that all these authorities have to work ad idem as they all have a common object to achieve i.e. of imparting of education properly and ensuring maintenance of proper standards of education, examination and infrastructure for betterment of educational system. Only if all these authorities work in coordinated manner and with cooperation, will they be able to achieve the very object for which all these entities exist."

Grants Commission and Anr. Vs. Neha Anil Bobde (Gadekar), (2013) 10 SCC 519, the qualifying criteria fixed by the UGC came for consideration. Bombay High Court had ruled out that UGC lacked the competence to fix the aggregate marks as the final qualifying criteria after the candidates obtained the minimum

marks prescribed before the declaration of result of N.E.T. examination. The judgment of the Bombay High Court was in appeal before this Court where this Court categorically laid down that UGC being an expert body is entrusted with duty to take such steps as it may think fit for the determination and maintenance of standards of teaching, examination and research in the University. In paragraph 22, following was laid down:-

"22. We have elaborately referred to various statutory provisions which would clearly indicate that the UGC as an expert body has been entrusted by UGC Act general duty to take such steps as it may think fit for the determination of standards maintenance of teaching, examination and research in Universities. is also duty bound to perform such functions as may be prescribed or as may be necessary by the Commission for deemed advancing the cause of higher education in India. The UGC has also got the power to define the qualification that should ordinarily be required for any person to be appointed to the teaching staff of the University and to regulate the maintenance of standards and coordination of work and faculties in the Universities."

- This Court further held that in academic matters unless there is a clear statutory violation, this Court shall keep their hands off since the issues fall within the domain of the experts. In paragraph 31, following was laid down:-
 - **"**31. are of the view that, in academic matters, unless there is a clear violation of statutory provisions, the Regulations or the Notification issued, the Courts shall keep their hands off since those issues fall within the domain of the experts. This Court in University of Mysore v. C.D. Govinda Rao, AIR 1965 SC 491, Tariq Islam v. Aligarh Muslim University (2001) 8 SCC 546 and Rajbir Singh Dalal v. Chaudhary Devi Lal University (2008) 9 SCC 284, has taken the view that the Court shall not generally sit in appeal over the opinion expressed by expert academic bodies normally it is wise and safe for the Courts to leave the decision of academic experts who are more familiar with the problem they face, than the Courts generally are. UGC as an expert body has been entrusted with the duty to take steps as it may think fit for determination and the maintenance of standards of teaching, examination and research in the University. For attaining the said standards, it is open to the UGC lay down any "qualifying criteria", which has a rational nexus to the object to be achieved, that is for maintenance of standards of teaching, examination and research. Candidates declared eligible for

lectureship may be considered appointment Assistant Professors as in Universities and colleges and the standard of such a teaching faculty has a direct nexus with the maintenance of standards of education to be imparted to the students of the universities and colleges. UGC has only implemented the opinion of the Experts by laying down the qualifying criteria, which cannot be considered as arbitrary, illegal or discriminatory or violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India."

56. Now, we come to the Revised Guidelines dated 06.07.2020, which is under challenge before us. The Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 issued in were continuation to earlier Guidelines dated 29.04.2020. The Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 provided that Universities are required to complete the examination by the end of September, 2020 in offline (pen and paper)/online / blended (offline and online mode) all terminal semester/final year examinations 2020. The Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 intended that it is only holding of terminal semester/final after year examination, Universities may proceed to grant

degrees. The challenge to Guidelines is on the ground that Guidelines are beyond the domain of UGC and does not relate to "co-ordination and determination of standards in institution of higher education". Undoubtedly, the UGC Act has been enacted in reference to Entry 66 List I where the preamble of the Act provides:-

"An Act to make provision for the coordination and determination of standards in Universities and for that purpose, to establish a University Grants Commission."

57. Section 12 which enumerates the functions of the Commission provides that it shall be the general duty of the Commission to take, in consultation with the Universities or other bodies concerned, all such steps as it may think fit for the promotion and coordination of University education and for the determination and maintenance of standards of teaching, examination and research in Universities.

The use of expression "examination" in Section 12

itself makes it clear that steps taken by the UGC under Section 12 may relate to the "examination as well". In Professor Yashpal (supra) in paragraph 32, this Court has held that the standards of education in an institution depends on various factors, one of which includes "the standard of examinations held including the manner in which the papers are set and examined".

- 58. The sheet anchor of the argument as stressed by Shri Arvind P. Datar is the Constitution Bench judgment of this Court in Modern Dental College and Research Centre and Ors. Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and Ors., (2016) 7 SCC 353. Learned senior counsel has relied on observation of this Court in paragraph 101. Relevant observation made in paragraph 101 is as follows:-
 - "101. To our mind, Entry 66 in List I is a specific Entry having a very specific and limited scope. It deals with coordination and determination of standards in institution of higher education or

research as well as scientific and technical institutions. The words "COordination and determination of standards" would mean laying down the said standards. Thus, when it comes to prescribing the standards for such institutions of higher learning, exclusive domain is given to the Union. However, that would not include conducting of examination, etc. admission of students to such institutions prescribing the fee or in institutions of higher education, etc......"

59. To comprehend the import of the above observation made by this Court, we need to look into the issue, which has arisen for consideration in above case. The enactment, which came for consideration before this Court in the above case was "Niji Vyavasayik Shikshan Sanstha (Pravesh Ka Viniyaman Shulk Avam Ka Nirdharan) Adhiniyam, 2007". The aforesaid Act, 2007 as well as the Madhya Pradesh Private Medical and Dental Postgraduate Course Entrance Examination Rules, 2009 came to be challenged before the High Court and the High court upheld the provisions of the Act and Rules, which came to be questioned before

this Court in Modern Dental College & Research Centre (supra). The Constitution Bench itself in paragraph 83 of the judgment has noted that the State enactments does not run foul of any of the existing central law. Paragraph 83 of the judgment needs to be quoted, which is to the following effect:-

- The enactment in question does not run foul of any of the existing Central laws. As far as the introduction of a CET at a national level is concerned, the same not enforced during the period of operation of the State statute. event, there being no Regulations regarding fixation or determination of fees of these institutions to ensure that the same does allow commercialisation profiteering, the State Legislature well competent to enact provisions regarding the same."
- 60. The issue, which was raised before the Constitution Bench was whether the subject matter of admissions was covered exclusively by Entry 66 of List I, thereby the States had no legislative competence to deal with the subject of admissions or determination of fee to be charged by professional

educational institutions. The said issue has been noticed in paragraph 98 in following words:-

- "98. The next issue to be considered is whether the subject-matter of admissions was covered exclusively by List I Entry 66, thereby the States having no legislative competence whatsoever to deal with the subject of admissions or determination of fee to be charged by professional educational institutions."
- 61. In paragraph 101, the Constitution Bench repelled the above submission and in the above context the observations were made "however, that would not include conducting of examination, etc. and admission of students to such institutions or prescribing the fee in these institutions of higher education, etc."
- the expression "not include conducting of examination etc." In the present case, there is no claim on behalf of the UGC that it is the UGC which shall conduct the examination of the graduate and

postgraduate students. The examinations are to be conducted by the respective Universities only. The above observations made by Constitution Bench paragraph 101 as relied by learned senior counsel for petitioner, cannot be treated to be laying down any preposition that University Grants Commission has no competence to lay down any standards with regard to examination. We, thus, are of the considered opinion that the Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 are not beyond the domain of the UGC and they relate to codetermination ordination and of standards in institutions of higher education.

Issue No.2

63. The issue consists of two parts, i.e., (i) whether the Revised Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 are non-statutory and advisory only and (ii) the Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 are contrary to earlier Guidelines dated 29.04.2020. We may take up the

second part first. The Guidelines dated 29.04.2020 issued with heading "UGC Guidelines were Examinations and Academic Calendar in view of COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent lockdown". With regard to examination of 2019-2020, several Universities have conducted examinations full or partial, some of the Universities were yet to commence their examination. At the outbreak of pandemic COVID-19, the Government India, Ministry of Home Affairs issued various orders and had taken measures to prevent its spread across the country including lockdown where several activities were prohibited due to the situation as developed from the last week of March, 2020. Neither any teaching could be done in the colleges/ Universities nor any examination could be held for the months together. Since the examinations could not be held in the month of March to June, 2020, by which period usually the examinations of all Universities are completed and results are declared, UGC came with Guidelines on Examinations and Academic

Calendar for the Universities. The Guidelines begins with following introduction:-

"Introduction

The whole world, including India, passing through unprecedented difficult of times due to the outbreak COVID-19 pandemic. As all universities and colleges are closed due to national lockdown, the teaching - learning process and research activities have been badly disrupted. schedule of Terminal Semester examinations has also got disturbed. In such scenario, joint responsibility of all stakeholders to manage multiple key issues relating to academic activities in institutions. While it is crucial to follow measures taken by the Government to contain of COVID-19, it is spread important continue the educational to process making effective use of technology and other available options. Future have many uncertainties but difficult times demand quick appropriate decisions. We must be optimistic that we can reinvent work again and engage the students in effective and constructive ways. The University Grants Commission (UGC) has been engaged with this issue and contemplating measures to face the challenge of safeguarding the interests of the academic fraternity in in and students particular. general Confronted with vital issues of examinations and academic calendar. UGC constituted an Expert Committee to deliberate these issues and make on recommendations to address them."

- an Expert Committee and it was on the basis of report submitted by Expert Committee Guidelines dated 29.04.2020 was issued. It is relevant to extract following portion of the guidelines:-
 - "1. Maintaining the sanctity of expectations of academic and integrity examination process, the universities may adopt alternative and simplified modes and examinations to complete methods of the in period of time in process shorter compliance with CBCS requirements as prescribed by UGC from time to time. These may include MCQ/ OMR based examinations, Book Examination, Open Choices, assignment/ presentation-based assessments etc.
 - 2. The universities may adopt efficient and innovative modes of examinations reducing the time from 3 hours to 2 hours assigned to each examination, if need arises but without compromising quality, that the so process may be completed in multiple shifts and, at the time, sanctity evaluate the same to of performance student is also a maintained.
 - 3. The universities may conduct Terminal / Intermediate Semester / Year examinations in offline / online mode, as per their Ordinances/ Rules and

Regulations, Scheme of Examinations, observing the guidelines of "social distancing" and keeping in view the support system available with them and ensuring fair opportunity to all students.

- 4. Terminal semester / year examinations for PG/ UG courses/ programmes may be conducted by universities as suggested in the academic calendar keeping in mind the protocols of "social distancing".
- 5. For intermediate semester/year students, the universities may conduct examinations, after making a comprehensive assessment of their level of preparedness, residential status of the students, status of COVID-19 pandemic spread in different region / state and other factors.

In case the situation does not appear to be normal in view of COVID-19, in order to maintain "social distancing", safety and health of the students, grading of the students could be composite of 50% marks on of the pattern of basis internal evaluation adopted by the universities and the remaining 50% marks can be awarded on the basis of performance in previous semester only (if available). The internal evaluation can be continuous evaluation, prelims, mid-semester, internal assignments or whatever name is given for student progression.

In the situations where previous semester or previous year marks are not available, particularly in the first year of annual pattern of examinations, 100%

evaluation may be done on the basis of internal evaluation.

If the student wishes to improve the grades, he/she may appear in special exams for such subjects during next semester.

This provision for intermediate semester examinations is only for the current academic session (2019-20) in view of COVID-19 pandemic, while maintaining safety and health of all the stakeholders and sanctity and quality of examinations."

65. The Guidelines also contains academic calendar suggested for the academic session 2019-2020 and dates for conduct of examinations were also suggested 01.07.2020 to 31.07.2020. Tt. is true Guidelines mentioned that Guidelines are advisory in nature and each University may chart out its plan of into consideration action taking the issues pertaining to pandemic COVID-19. A reading of the Guidelines indicate that ample latitude was given to the Universities to conduct terminal/intermediate/ semester year examinations in offline and online The Guidelines, however, cannot be read to mode.

mean that Guidelines dated 29.04.2020 left it to the wisdom of the Universities to either conduct terminal semester/final year examinations or not to conduct, which is clear from clauses 4 and 5 under the heading "Examinations". Clause 4 specifically provides that terminal semester /final year examinations for PG/ UG courses/ programmes may be conducted by universities as suggested in the academic calendar keeping in mind the protocols of "social distancing". The academic calendar, which is part of the Guidelines suggested the date for start of the examinations as 01.07.2020. When we read clause 5, the difference between clause With regard to intermediate 5 is clear. semester /year students there is express mention that "In case the situation does not appear to be normal in view of COVID-19, grading of the students could be composite of 50% marks on the basis of the pattern of internal evaluation adopted by the universities and the remaining 50% marks can be awarded on the basis performance in previous semester." But

option is not mentioned in clause of the Guidelines, which referred to terminal semester/final year examinations. The Guidelines dated 29.04.2020 was issued for a purpose and object with latitude to the Universities to chart their own plan/course but the argument cannot be accepted that Universities were not to follow the Guidelines on the pretext that uses the expression "advisory". it The Revised Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 were issued looking to the situation that COVID-19 cases are still rising and likely to increase further and as per academic calendar in the Guidelines dated 29.04.2020, the examinations were to complete by 31.07.2020. The UGC requested the Expert Committee to revisit the Guidelines. The Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 in fact further time requiring the completion grant examination by 30.09.2020. When we look into the substance of the Guidelines dated 29.04.2020 and Revised Guidelines dated 06.07.2020, it is clear that Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 are in continuation to

earlier Guidelines and not contrary to the earlier Guidelines. We have to look into the substance of the Guidelines and find out the intent and object of the Guidelines. The Guidelines were issued with the object that a uniform academic calendar be followed by all the Universities final terminal semester/final year examinations be With regard to intermediate semester/year held. the earlier UGC Guidelines examination, dated 29.04.2020 have been continued even in the Revised Guidelines dated 06.07.2020. We, thus, do not accept the submission of petitioners that Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 are contrary to the earlier Guidelines.

66. Now, coming to the first part of the issue that the Guidelines are non-statutory and advisory only, it is the case of both the parties that Guidelines have been issued by the UGC in exercise of power under Section 12. Section 12 of the Act provides that it shall be the general duty of the Commission

to take all such steps as it may think fit for the promotion and co-ordination of University education for the determination and maintenance and of standards of teaching, examination and research in Universities. The words "all such steps" are of wide The steps referred to in Section 12 may include issuance of quidelines, directions, circulars The Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 has to be etc. treated to have been issued in exercise of statutory powers vested in the Commission under Section 12. Guidelines issued in exercise of statutory powers, thus, cannot be said to be non-statutory. There is one more reason to hold the Guidelines have statutory The University Grants Commission, in exercise force. of power under Section 26 sub-section (1) of the Act, 1956 Regulations namely, "the have made the UGC (Minimum Standards of Instruction for the Grant of the Master's Degree through Formal Education) Regulations, 2003", on which both learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned

counsel for the UGC have placed reliance. Regulation 6, which deals with "examination and evaluation" contains following regulation as Regulation 6.1:-

- "6.1 The university shall adopt the guidelines issued by the UGC and other statutory bodies concerned from time to time in respect of conduct of examinations."
- 67. The statutory Regulation, 2003 thus, categorically requires Universities to adopt the Guidelines issued by the UGC, hence, it is the statutory duty of the Universities to adopt the guidelines issued by the UGC. It is the statutory obligation of the Universities to adopt the Guidelines and the Guidelines cannot be ignored by terming it as non-statutory or advisory.

Issue No.3

68. The revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020 have been challenged claiming that it violates Article 14 of the Constitution. It is submitted that the UGC

guidelines discriminate between the students of Final year and First/Second year. The UGC guidelines have been termed as unreasonable and arbitrary. It is further submitted that impugned guidelines failed the test of Article 14 because they apply throughout India and one fixed date i.e. 30th September, 2020, irrespective of the conditions prevailing in the States/Universities, issuing one deadline results in unequals being treated equally.

69. The submission is that the impugned guidelines discriminate between the students of First year and Final year and carves out one class of students from homogeneous class; The impugned guidelines are in continuation to earlier guidelines dated 29.04.2020 and the guidelines dated 29.04.2020 dealt with terminal semester/ final year examination in clause four and for intermediate semester/year students in clause five.

70. The earlier quidelines provided that the examination may be conducted, however, an option was given with regard to intermediate/year students for their promotion on the basis of internal assessment and performance in the previous semesters. Holding of examination for the Final year students was impugned guidelines. necessary by the The Final year/terminal semesters examinations are important because the learning process is a dynamic interaction where the only way to figure out what students know to seek evidence of their knowledge is and evaluate it. Performance in examination especially examination year/terminal Final semester are reflection of competence of the students. Terminal semester/Final year examination also provides opportunity to the students to improve upon their overall score/marks which very crucial are for academic excellence and opportunities of employment. Final year/terminal semester examination of under-Graduate or post-Graduate is an opportunity for

student to show his optimum calibre which pave his future career both in academics and employment. We do not find any unreasonableness or arbitrariness in the revised guidelines of University Grants Commission dated 06.07.2020 which require all Universities/Collages to conduct at least the final year/terminal semester examination.

- The differentiation made by revised guidelines 71. to hold Final year/ Terminal semester examination has basis rational and there is intelligible a an differentia student between the of Final year/Terminal semester and other students. We thus reject the challenge on the ground that there is any hostile discrimination between the students of Final year/Terminal semester and other students.
- 72. The further submission that the guidelines failed the test of Article 14 because they apply throughout India and being one fixed date i.e.

30.09.2020 irrespective of the conditions prevailing in the individual States/Universities also cannot be accepted. the earlier quidelines Even dated 29.04.2020 provided for an academic calendar which mentioned 01.07.2020 to 15.07.2020 for conduct of year Terminal semester/Final examination and 31.07.2020 16.07.2020 to for Intermediate semester/year examination. When the academic calendar is set, fixed dates are always given for uniformity. The UGC had rightly fixed a date for completion of Terminal the semester/Final year examination throughout the country to maintain uniformity in the academic calendar.

73. The students who look forward for admission in higher classes or take employment require final degree for their career prospect and to maintain uniformity in dates by which final examinations are over is with the object of students welfare and for their career and it cannot be said that since uniform

date has been fixed by which Terminal semester/ Final year examination are to be completed, Article 14 has been violated.

74. Both, the earlier guidelines as well as revised quidelines have taken due notice of the prevailing situation of Covid-19 and it cannot be said that the expert body is unaware of Pandemic spread throughout the Country. The criticism of guidelines that they are unreasonable does not inspire any confidence. Following features in the revised guidelines clearly expert body took measures indicate that interest of the students and their academic career: -(i) The academic calendar provided for in the earlier quidelines contemplated conduct of examination from 31.07.2020. 01.07.2020 to The revised quidelines noticed - "The number of covid cases are still rising revised and likely to increase further...". The quidelines has granted further time for completion of examination till end of September, 2020, which was a step to facilitate Universities and Colleges to complete their examination which was a reasonable step in wake of the Pandemic.

- (ii) The guidelines made the conduct of examination flexible by providing three modes of examination:
- (a) Offline (Pen and Paper)
- (b) Online
- (c) Blended (Online + Offline)
 - (iii) The revised guidelines also made a provision of examination through special chance in case a student of Terminal semester/Final year is unable to appear in the examination due to any reason.
- in examination is also in the interest of the students to protect those students who due to any reason are unable to appear in the examination. The above measures taken in the revised guidelines are reasonable and the criticism of the guidelines that

they are unreasonable and manifestly arbitrary are without any substance. We thus do not find revised guidelines to be violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India.

ISSUE NO.4

the learned counsel 76. The claim of for the petitioner is that compelling attendance of the students by holding physical examination in the present situation of the Pandemic is a violation of 'Right to Life' under Article 21. contended that lakhs of students, teaching and nonteaching staff will be forced to risk their health and lives of their family members in event they are asked to participate in the Final year/ Terminal examination. The revised guidelines have been issued totally disregarding the graveness of the present Pandemic of which the entire country is in its grip.

- 77. There can be no doubt that it is the duty of the State to take care of the health of its citizens. various The taken measures by the specified authorities under the Disaster Management Act, 2005, are only with the object to contain the Pandemic and protect the health of citizens of the country. The criticism of the revised guidelines is that ignores the fact that covid cases are still rising different part of the country and the in the quidelines had completely disregarded the health of the students and expose the students, teachers and non-teaching staff to the risk of contacting virus during the course of examination.
- 78. It is relevant to note that the revised guidelines were issued taking into consideration the fact that the number of covid cases are still rising and likely to increase further which fact has been categorically mentioned in the beginning of the revised guidelines itself. Further, clause 6 of the

revised guidelines specifically provides that every University/Institution has to ensure that it is prepared in all respect to carry out the academic activity following necessary protocols, guidelines, directions, advisories issued by the Central/ State Government from time to time in view of Covid-19. Clause 6 of the guidelines is as follows:-

- **"**6. Notwithstanding the above quidelines regarding conduct examination and commencement of next academic session, every university/institution has to ensure that it is prepared in all respects to the academic carry out activities following necessary protocols/ directions/ quidelines/ advisories issued by the Central/State Governments and MHRD/UGC from time to time, in view of COVID-19."
- 79. The University Grants Commission is conscious of increasing number of covid cases throughout the country and as observed above, the revised guidelines have extended the period for completion

of examination from 31.07.2020 to 30.09.2020 which was only due to the reason that due to Pandemic, Universities/ Colleges may not have been able to hold the examination. Further specific provisions in the guidelines that all institutions have to follow necessary protocols, guidelines, directions, advisories issued as measures to contain Covid-19 makes it clear that there is no intent to protect the students, teachers, non-teaching staff from the deadly virus.

80. It is also relevant to note that after issuance revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020 OM of dated 06.07.2020, Ministry of Human Resource Development (MHRD), has issued detailed guidelines for conduct of examination which guidelines were duly vetted by Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW). conduct quidelines for of examination were circulated by University Grants Commission vide its letter dated 08.07.2020, "Standard Operating Procedure for conduct of examination is relevant" which is quoted as below: -

"Standard Operating Procedure for conduct of Examination

- 1. The instructions, guidelines and orders issued by the Central and State Governments concerning the opening of educational institutions and safety and health should be abided by the universities and colleges. However, they may develop more stricter provisions and guidelines, if they find it necessary,
- 2. In case there is a restriction on in certain movements areas, admit/identity cards issued to students should be treated as a pass for the movement of the students. Governments should State issue instructions to all local authorities issue movement passes invigilators and all personnel engaged in the conduct of examination.
- 3. Entire examination centre floors and walls, doors, gates, should be sprayed with disinfectant.

- 4. Fresh mask and gloves to be used by exam functionaries after staff verification is done.
- 5. Sanitizer bottles should be arranged at the entry gate, examination rooms, staff/observer room, etc, and should be replenished regularly.
- 6. All liquid handwash bottles should be replenished in restrooms and entry gate whenever required.
- 7. Candidate Seating Area should be thoroughly sanitised (desk and chair) after every session.
- 8. All the washrooms should be cleaned and disinfected.
- 9. All door handles, staircase railing, lift buttons, etc, should be disinfected.
- 10. Wheelchairs, if present at the examination centres, should be disinfected.
- 11. All the trash bins should be cleaned.

- 12. Staff verification and self declaration as suggested below must be done as soon as they report at the centre.
 - a.Exam functionary must submit self declaration about health status.
 - b.Thermo gun temperature
 check must be done at staff
 entrance point.
 - c.If any Examination functionary fails to meet the self-declaration criteria, or thermo gun check, he/she will be asked to leave the examination centre immediately.
 - d.Exam functionary needs to wear the mask and gloves at all the time.
- 13. Cleanliness and hygienic conditions as per safety and health advisories of the concerned government departments are to be maintained at all places.
- 14. Proper signages, symbols, posters, etc. should be displayed at appropriate place to maintain social distancing.
- 15. Downloading of 'Arogya Setu' App may be advised for every staff and

- student of the University and College.
- 16. Adequate arrangements of thermal scanners, sanitisers, facemasks and hand gloves at all entry and exit points including the reception area. Wherever possible, students should be given fresh face masks by the invigilators in the examination room itself.
- 17. Avoid crowding at entry and exit points.
- 18. Opening all the gates, of entry and exit, in case HEIs have more than one gate.
- 19. Senior staff should monitor the entry and exit. There should be proper markings with at least 2 metre distance where students stand while waiting for opening of the college gate. Exit of students should permitted one by one only.
- 20. Thermal screening of students, wearing of face mask, sanitizing of hands etc. be ensured.
- 21. The Invigilators, while on duty, should be continuously wearing mask, and proper hand gloves.

- 22. The students should be asked to sanitize their hands before and after signing the Attendance sheet.
- 23. Students having symptoms of fever, cough and cold should be either made to sit in a separate room or given a chance to appear on another day.
- 24. Hand washing stations with facilities of liquid soap should be made available so that every student can wash her/his hand frequently.
- 25. Keeping in view the physical distancing, institutions should have adequate rooms capacity to meet the proper seating arrangement Minimum examination. distance students should be between two Sample seating plan metres. is annexed.
- 26. Adequate arrangements for safe drinking water be made on the campus.
- 27. Adequate supply of water in toilets and for hand washing be ensured.
- 28. Dustbins must be cleaned and covered properly.

- 29. Proper sanitization of buses, other transport and official and vehicles of the institution.
- 30. At the end of the day
 - a.Used gloves and masks should be disposed only in a pedal push covered bin at the Examination Centre and outside the examination room/hall.
 - b. Safely dispose off all used masks and gloves discarded at the examination centres or outside the examination centre in trash bin bags at suitable place and as per standard guidelines issued by health authority."
- 81. The Standard operating procedure for conduct of examination as extracted above make it abundantly clear that UGC, MHRD, and Ministry of Health and Family Welfare are fully concerned with the health of all stakeholders i.e. the students as well as exam functionaries.

82. In view of the above, we are not persuaded to accept the submissions of the petitioner that the revised guidelines are violative of Article 21 of the Constitution.

ISSUE NO.5

83. The revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020 have been challenged on the ground that it has been issued in the breach of Section 12(1) of the UGC Act, 1956. The submission is that Section 12(1) mandates that the Commission in consultation with the Universities and other bodies concerned shall take all such steps as it may think fit. It is submitted that before issuance of the revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020, the UGC was required to consult all the Universities and other bodies concerned. The submission is that expression 'other bodies concerned' the Section shall include State Disaster Management Authority which has been constituted in each state

and before issuance of guidelines dated 06.07.2020, it was obligatory for the UGC to consult the State Disaster Management Authority. Further submission is that the expression 'other bodies' may also include health experts and UGC was required to consult health experts before issuing the revised guidelines. The UGC having failed to consult the Universities or other bodies, the guidelines dated 06.07.2020 are in breach of Section 12 and are liable to set aside on this ground alone.

84. For appreciating the above challenge raised by the petitioner, we need to look into the statutory scheme as delineated by Section 12 of UGC Act, 1956. Section 12 is part of Chapter III of UGC Act, 1956, which deals with "Powers and functions of the Commission". Section 12 bears the heading "Functions of the Commission". Section 12 as relevant is as follows:-

"POWERS AND FUNCTIONS OF THE COMMISSION

Functions 12. It shall be the general duty of the of the Commission to take, in consultation with the Commission Universities or other bodies concerned, all such steps as it may think fit for the promotion and co-ordination of University education and for the determination of standards of maintenance teaching, research in Universities, examination and for the purpose of performing functions under this Act, the Commission may

- (a) inquire into the financial needs of Universities;
- (b) allocate and disburse, out of the Fund of the Commission, grants to Universities established or incorporated by or under a Central Act for the maintenance and development of such Universities or for any other general or specified purpose;
- (c) allocate and disburse, out of the Fund of the Commission, such grants to other Universities as it may deem [necessary or 1 appropriate for the of such Universities for development or the maintenance, or development, or both, any specified activities of such Universities or for any other general or specified purpose:

Provided that in making any grant to any such University, the Commission shall give due consideration to the development of the University

concerned, its financial needs, the standard attained by it and the national purposes which it may serve,

- [(cc) allocate and disburse out of the Fund of the Commission, such grants to institution deemed to be universities in pursuance of a declaration made by the Central Government under section 3, as it may deem necessary, for one or more of the following purposes, namely: -
- (i) for maintenance in special cases,
- (ii) for development.
- (iii) for any other general or specified
 purpose;]
- [(ccc) establish, in accordance with the regulations made under this Act, institutions for providing common facilities, services and programmes of universities or for the group in general and maintain universities such institutions or provide for maintenance by allocating disbursing and, out of the Fund of the Commission such Commission the deem grants as may necessary.]
- (d) recommend to any University the measures necessary for the improvement of University education and advise the University upon the action to be taken for the purpose of implementing such recommendation;

- (e) advise the Central Government or any State Government on the allocation of any grants to Universities for any general or specified purpose out of the Consolidated Fund of India or the Consolidated Fund of the State, as the case may be;
- (f) advise any authority, if such advice is asked for, on the establishment of a new University or on proposals connected with the expansion of the activities of any University;
- (g) advise the Central Government or any State Government or University on any question which may be referred to the Commission by the Central Government or the State Government or the University, as the case may be;
- (h) collect information on all such matters relating to University education in India and other countries as it thinks fit and make the same available to any University;
- (i) require a University to furnish it with such information as may be needed relating to the financial position of the University or the studies in the various branches of learning undertaken in that University, together with all the rules and regulations relating to the standards of teaching and examination in that University respecting each of such branches of learning;
- (j) perform such other functions as may be prescribed or as may be deemed necessary by the Commission for advancing the cause of higher education in India or

as may be incidental or conducive to the discharge of the above functions."

Section 12 begins with the words "it shall be the 85. general duty of the commission to take",..."in consultation with Universities or other concerned." What is the ambit and scope of expression 'Universities or other bodies concerned' has fallen for consideration in the present case. The use of expression 'Universities or other bodies concerned' for purpose and object which is clear is subsequent enumerations of functions of the commission in the Section itself. For example, we may take functions of the commission as mentioned in subclause (d) which provides that the Commission may recommend to the universities any measures necessary for the improvement of the university education and advise the universities upon the action to be taken implementation of for the purpose of such recommendation. When we look into this sub-clause

- (d), it is clear that the function enumerated in subclause is only with regard to a particular university and for discharge of function by the commission with regard to sub-clause (d), it has to consult only the university concerned.
- 86. The use of the word 'Universities or other bodies concerned' in the opening part of the Section has been with a purpose of referring the universities or other bodies concerned for whom the function has be performed by. The enumerations given from (j) indicate that apart clause (a) to universities the function also include advice to the Government or Central any State Government allocation of any grant to the Universities or advise Central Government or any State Government or any Universities on any question which may be referred to the commission by the Central Government or the State Government. Thus, the expression 'other bodies' used

in the opening part of the Section is in reference to other bodies apart from universities enumerated in Section 12. The expression 'Universities or other bodies concerned' used in the opening part of the Section cannot be stretched to the meaning which is now sought to be given by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

87. The submission that 'other bodies' as used in Section 12 should include State Disaster Management Authority or health experts is misconceived. Section 12 never contemplated any such "bodies". Furthermore, the State Disaster Management Authority came into existence only after enactment of Disaster Management Act, 2005, no such concept was there when the UGC Act, 1956 was enacted. The expression 'other bodies' cannot be expanded as contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner. The use of the word 'concerned' after 'Universities or other bodies' has

specific purpose and meaning. The consultation with the Universities or other bodies concerned was in particular function which reference to a was enumerated in clause (a) to (j) and it has specific reference and "Universities" or other bodies" were referred to in the above context. Section 12 cannot be interpreted in a manner that for taking any measure with regard to coordination of university education and for determination and maintenance of standards of teaching examination in the Universities, the UGC should consult each and every University of each and every State and only then, such measures can be taken. Reading the provision in shall make functioning of UGC above manner the unworkable. There are more than nine hundred Universities in the country and to require UGC to consult more than nine hundred universities taking any measure will make the functioning impossible and impractical.

88. Section 12 cannot be interpreted in a manner that for taking any steps by the UGC, there is a mandatory requirement of consultation of all the States/Universities failing which no measures can be taken by the University Grants Commission. Clause (j) of Section 12 is couched in a very vide manner which the commission to perform such empower functions as may be prescribed or as may be deemed necessary by the Commission for advancing the cause of higher education in India or as may be incidental or conducive to the discharge of the above function. Any function which may be deemed necessary by the Commission can be performed. For performance of its function by the Commission, the Commission of its own fully competent to take decisions, issue directions, guidelines, etc. The Commission may also take assistance of any Committee of experts discharge of its functions for which there is

prohibition in the statutory scheme. In the common counter affidavit filed by the UGC with regard to the guidelines dated 29.04.2020, the Commission has in paragraph 8 of the common counter affidavit has stated that the guidelines which contained policy decision taken by UGC were made following the report by the Committee under the Chairmanship of Prof. R.C.Kuhad. Following statements have been made in the paragraph 8: -

"8.... It is pertinent to note that Guidelines, which policy decisions taken by the UGC, were made following a report by a committee under the Chairmanship of Prof. R.C.Kuhad, Vice-Chancellor, Central University of Haryana. said committee consisted of various experts in the field that included Vice-Chancellors of various universities, the director of Inter University Accelerator Centre, New Delhi, and senior officers of the UGC. Therefore, the Guidelines were published "in consultation with the Universities bodies or other concerned", as mandated by section 12 of the UGC Act..."

- 89. The revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020 was issued after the report was received from the Committee headed by Prof. R.C. Kuhad as has been specifically pleaded in paragraph 10 of the common counter affidavit in which following statement has been made:-
 - That, however, in June *"*10. considering the evolving situation of Covid-19 pandemic, the the UGC requested the expert committee headed by Prof. R.C. Kuhad to revisit 'UGC Guidelines on Examinations and Academic Calendar for the Universities in View of COVID-19 Pandemic and Subsequent Lockdown'. Accordingly, the expert committee (which also included Vice-Chancellors of technical Universities and a representative of industry) did so, and submitted recommending that terminal semester/final year examinations would conducted by universities/ be institutions by the end of September, 2020 in offline(Pen & Paper)/ online/ blended (online + offline) mode. This report of the expert committee was deliberated and approved by the UGC in emergent meeting held its on 06.07.2020..."

- 90. The statutory scheme as delineated by Section 12 makes it clear that for the purposes of performing its functions under the Act as enumerated in clause (j), it is not mandatory duty of the (a) Commission to consult with the Universities or other bodies concerned in all cases e.g. while allocating and disbursing out of the fund of the Commission, grants to the Universities as enumerated in subclause (b) and (c). It is not necessary to consult the university to whom the grant is to be allocated and disbursed. The expression "in consultation with the Universities or other bodies concerned" has to be read to mean where consultation with Universities or other bodies concerned is necessary without which the Commission is unable to perform its functions.
- 91. We may further elaborate the point by referring to certain other functions as enumerated in Section 12. Section 12 sub-clause (h) provides: -

- "(h) collect information on all such matters relating to University education in India and other countries as it thinks fit and make the same available to any University;"
- 92. Whether for collecting information relating to University education in India, UGC has to consult all 900 Universities and whether without more consultation with the Universities, it cannot perform its functions under Section 12(h), the answer would be obviously that it is not necessary for UGC to all the universities consult while collecting information relating to University Education India. The expression "Universities or other bodies concerned" has not be read in a rigid manner rather it is flexible as per requirement of the Commission. The residuary clause i.e. Section 12(j) cloth the Commission to perform such other functions as may be deemed necessary by the Commission. The guidelines dated 29.04.2020 and 06.07.2020 have been issued after consultation of an expert Committee headed by

Prof. Kuhad. The guidelines have been issued after a report of an expert committee consisting of academicians and experts. It cannot be said that the Commission had no jurisdiction to issue guidelines without consulting all the Universities in the Country and all the States or Union Territories.

93. UGC is empowered to perform such other The functions deemed as may be necessary by the Commission. If the Commission felt it necessary to issue guidelines after obtaining a report from the expert committee, no exception can be taken to the procedure adopted by the Commission. The guidelines dated 29.04.2020 as well as revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020 are general in nature and not confined to any particular university or any particular state. Hence, it cannot be said that UGC is obliged to consult all Universities or States before issuance of the quidelines.

94. We thus, are satisfied that guidelines dated 06.07.2020 cannot be said to be violative of Section 12 of UGC Act, 1956.

Issue No.6

95. The submission which has been pressed before us by the learned counsel for the petitioners challenging the revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020 is that the said quidelines insofar as it directs for holding of the final year/terminal semester examination by 30.09.2020 does not prohibit a State State Disaster Management Authority in taking or appropriate decision in exercise of power under Disaster Management Act, 2005 not to hold examination looking to the situation in a particular State. In this context, reference has been made to the decision taken by the State Disaster Management Authority of Maharashtra dated 18.06.2020 and the Government 19.06.2020 by Resolution dated the of State

Maharashtra as well as the proceedings dated 13.07.2020 of the State Disaster Management Authority of the State of Maharashtra. The submission is that exercise of power under Disaster Management Act, 2005 shall override the UGC's guidelines directing holding by 30.09.2020 of the examination by each University/Colleges. For considering the above submission we need to look into the statutory scheme of the Disaster Management Act, 2005 and various orders issued thereunder. The Disaster Management Act, 2005 has been enacted to provide for effective management of disasters and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto. Section 3 provides for establishment of National Disaster Management Authority with Prime Minister of India as Section 6 provides for powers Chairperson. functions of National Authority. Section 8 provides for constitution of National Executive Committee. National Plan is to be drawn as per Section 11. Section 14 provides for establishment of

Disaster Management Authority. Section 14 of the Act is as follows:

"Section 14. Establishment of State Disaster Management Authority.-(1)Every State Government shall, as soon may be after the issue of the notification under sub-section (1) of section 3, by notification in the Official Gazette, establish State Disaster Management Authority for the State with such name as may specified in the notification of the State Government.

- (2) A State Authority shall consist of the Chairperson and such number of other members, not exceeding nine, as may be prescribed by the State Government and, unless the rules otherwise provide, the State Authority shall consist of the following members, namely:—
- (a) the Chief Minister of the State, who shall be Chairperson, ex officio;
- (b) other members, not exceeding eight, to be nominated by the Chairperson of the State Authority;
- (c) the Chairperson of the State Executive Committee, ex officio.

- (3) The Chairperson of the State Authority may designate one of the members nominated under clause (b) of sub-section (2) to be the Vice-Chairperson of the State Authority.
- (4) The Chairperson of the State Executive Committee shall be the Chief Executive Officer of the State Authority, ex officio:

Provided that in the case of a Union territory having Legislative Assembly, except the Union territory of Delhi, the Chief Minister shall be Chairperson of the Authority established under this section and in case of other Union territories. Lieutenant Governor or Administrator shall be the Chairperson of that Authority: Provided further that the Lieutenant Governor of Union territory of Delhi shall be the Chairperson and the Chief Minister thereof shall be the Vice-Chairperson of the State Authority.

(5) The term of office and conditions of service of members of the State Authority shall be such as may be prescribed."

- 96. Section 18 deals with powers and functions of State Authority. Section 20 provides for constitution of State Executive Committee and Section 22 enumerates functions of the State Executive Committee. Section 38 empowers the State Government to take measures.
 - 97. After notifying COVID-19 as pandemic the National Disaster Management Authority issued order dated 24.03.2020 directing the Ministries/Departments of India, State/Union Government of Territory Governments and State/Union Territory Authorities to take effective measures so as to prevent the spread the country. Guidelines of COVID-19 in and the measures to be taken by the Ministries, State/Union issued by the Ministry of Territory were Affairs. For the purposes of this case we may notice the order dated 30.05.2020 issued by the Government India, Ministry of Home Affairs in exercise of

powers conferred under Section 6(2)i) of the Act, 2005. The guidelines for phased reopening (Unlock I) was issued on 30.05.2020. Paragraphs 1 and 5 of the guidelines which are relevant are as follows:

"1. Phased re-opening of areas outside the Containment Zones

In areas outside Containment Zones, all activities will be permitted, except the following which will be allowed, with the stipulation of following Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) to be prescribed by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW), in a phased manner.

Phase I

• • • • • • •

Phase II

Schools, colleges, educational/training/ coaching institutions etc., will be opened after consultations with States and UTs. State Governments/UT administrations may hold

consultations at the institution level with parents and other stakeholders. Based on the feedback, a decision on the re-opening of these institutions will be taken in the month of July, 2020.

MoHFW will prepare SOP in this regard, in consultation with the Central Ministries/ Departments concerned and other stakeholders, for ensuring social distancing and to contain the spread of COVID-19.

Phase III

• • • • • • •

5. States/Uts, based on their assessment of the situation, may prohibit certain activities outside the Containment zones, or impose such restrictions as deemed necessary."

98. The guidelines dated 30.05.2020 were to remain in force till 30.06.2020 during which period some of the States have taken a decision not to hold the examination as directed by the UGC. For the purposes

of this case it shall be sufficient to notice the decision taken by the Government of Maharashtra as well as the State Disaster Management Authority of Disaster State of Maharashtra. State Management Authority of Maharashtra in its meeting 18.06.2020 took a decision not to conduct the final year/terminal semester examination. The Government dated 19.06.2020 Resolution issued was by Government of Maharashtra where the Government decided that taking into consideration the situation of COVID-19 in the State of Maharashtra final year examination of professional courses cannot With regard to arranged. non-professional (traditional) courses Government resolved to declare result by way of adopting suitable formula after obtaining in writing from students that they intend to get the Degree without appearing in examination. On 18.06.2020 when the State Disaster Authority took the decision and the Government of Maharashtra issued Government Resolution the guidelines issued by the

Ministry of Home Affairs dated 30.05.2020 did not expressly permit conduct of examination in Schools/Colleges. In paragraph 5 of the guidelines dated 30.05.2020 issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs, States/Uts, based on their assessment of the situation, empowered to prohibit certain were activities outside the Containment Zones, or impose such restrictions as deemed necessary. When the State Authority Disaster Management and the State Government (Maharashtra) took a decision not conduct examination, the said decision was well within the guidelines issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs. Further Disaster Management Authority of the State is empowered under Section 38 to take measures for the purpose of prevention of disaster mitigation. The decision taken by the State Disaster Management Authority on 18.06.2020 as well as the Resolution dated State Government's 19.06.2020 insofar they decided not to hold final year/terminal semester examination by 30.09.2020 was well within the jurisdiction of the said Authority. We have noticed that guidelines of UGC dated 06.07.2020 directed all Universities/Colleges to complete their examinations by 30.09.2020. The question is as to whether the State Disaster Management Authority could have taken a decision contrary to the directive of the University Grants Commission to complete the examination by 30.09.3030. Reliance has been placed on Section 72 of the Disaster Management Act, 2005 which provision gives overriding effect to the provisions of Act, 2005. Section 72 of the Act, 2005 is guoted below:

"Section 72. Act to have overriding effect.—The provisions of this Act, shall have effect, notwithstanding anything inconsistent therewith contained in any other law for the time being in force or in any instrument having effect by virtue of any law other than this Act."

99. The Disaster Management Act, 2005 empowers the State Disaster Management Authority as well as the

State Government to take decision for prevention and mitigation of a disaster and the action taken by the authorities under the Disaster Management Act have been given overriding effect to achieve the purpose and object of the Act. In case of a disaster priority of all authorities under the Disaster Management Act is to immediately combat the disaster and contain it to save human life. Saving of life of human being is given paramount importance and the Act, 2005 gives primacy, priority to the actions and measures taken under the Act over inconsistency in any other law for the time being in force. Section 72 begins with non obstante clause. This Court in State (NCT of Delhi) vs. Sanjay, 2014(9) SCC 772 in paragraph 63 laid down following:

"63. It is well known that a nonobstante clause is a legislative device
which is usually employed to give
overriding effect to certain provisions
over some contrary provisions that may be
found either in the same enactment or some
other enactment, that is to say, to avoid

the operation and effect of all contrary provisions. "

100. The Kerala High Court had occasion to consider Section 72 of the Disaster Management Act in reference to another Central Act that is Land Acquisition Act. The Division Bench of the Kerala High Court (of which one of us Justice Ashok Bhushan was also a member) laid down following in paragraph 69:

69. The Disaster Management Act, 2005 is enacted with a definite object. Various powers have been given to the different authorities, including the DDMA to achieve the objects of the Act. Various statutory plans are to be prepared for Disaster Management. In event it is to be accepted that with regard to taking any action with regard to a premises which is in occupation/possession/ownership of a private person, the authorities have first to draw proceedings under the Land Acquisition Act and then issue any order under the 2005 Act is to defeat the entire purpose and object of the 2005 Act. The legislature being well aware of the legal consequences have already engrafted Section 72 of the Act which gives overriding effect to the provisions of the 2005 Act,

notwithstanding anything consistent therewith contained in any other law. Section 72 of the Act is as follows:

"72. Act to have overriding effect.-The provisions of this Act, shall have effect, notwithstanding anything inconsistent therewith contained in any other law for the time being in force or in any instrument having effect by virtue of any law other than this Act.""

101. At this juncture, we may also notice the OM dated 06.07.2020 issued by the Ministry of Human Resource Development as well as the decision dated 06.07.2020 of Ministry of Home Affairs. Learned Solicitor General appearing for the University Grants Commission has submitted that in case of National Disaster the decision taken by the National Disaster Authority as well as the decision of the National Executive Committee hold the field and no contrary decision can be taken by a State Disaster Management Authority or State Government. It is submitted that

on 06.07.2020 the Ministry of Home Affairs in a letter to Union Higher Education Secretary, permitted conduct of examination by Universities and Institutions. The decision of the Ministry of Home Affairs is placed on record which is to the following effect:

"Press Information Bureau Government of India

Ministry of Home Affairs permits conduct of examinations by Universities and Institutions

New Delhi, July, 6 2020

Ministry of Home Affairs, in a letter to Union Higher Education Secretary, today permitted conduct of examinations by Universities and Institutions. The final Term Examinations are to be compulsorily conducted as per the UGC Guidelines on Examinations and Academic Calendar for the Universities; and as per the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) approved by the Union Ministry of Health & Family Welfare.

102. The Ministry of Human Resource Development issued an OM dated 06.07.2020 which is to the following effect:

"Government of India Ministry of Human Resource Development

Department of Higher Eduction

Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi, Date the $6^{\rm th}$ July, 2020

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject: Instructions for conduct of examination- regarding.

A large number of examinations of the Universities, IIT-JEE(Mains & Advance), NEET etc are scheduled to be held in the coming months. In order to ensure safety of the

examinees, as also their academic interest, the following action may be taken.

- 1. Final Term Examinations should be <u>compulsorily</u> conducted as per UGC Guidelines on Examinations and Academic Calendar for the Universities dated 29.04.2020 which have been again resolved today i.e. 06th July, 2020.
- 2. All examination may be conducted on 30^{th} September, 2020.
- into consideration Taking t.he academic 3. interest of large number of students, MHA has agreed to the request of MHRD and granted opening exemption for the of educational institutions for the purpose of holding examinations/evaluation work for Final Term Examinations of the Universities/Institutions.
- 4. MHRD has formulated detailed SOP for conduct of examinations with precautions to be taken in view of COVID-19 situation. This has been vetted by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. A copy of the same is enclosed to ensure safety to all.
- 5. Previous instructions regarding "Work From Home" sent vide letter dated 30.06.2020 will not apply to the officers, faculty and non-Teaching Staff who are involved in Examination/Evaluation/Admission work.

Sd/-

(Vidya Sagar Rai)

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India."

103. A perusal of the OM dated 06.07.2020 indicates that the Ministry of Home Affairs has agreed to the request of the Ministry of Human Resource Development and granted exemption for the opening of educational institutions for the purpose of holding examinations/ evaluation work for Final Term Examinations of the Universities/Institutions. The said OM as well letter of the Ministry of Home Affairs cannot be read to mean that it fettered the jurisdiction of the State Authority to take a decision considering the situation in a State with regard to conduct examinations. The cumulative effect of OM 06.07.2020 and letter dated 06.07.2020 shall be that Government of India granted exemption for holding the examinations which shall be treated as exception to the guidelines dated 29.06.2020 issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs where Schools, Colleges, educational and coaching institutions were to remain closed till 31.07.2020. The said OM and letter dated 06.07.2020 permitting holding the examinations shall not fetter the power of the State Disaster Management Authority to take appropriate measures to contain the Disaster in the State. It is relevant to notice that State Disaster Management Authority of the State of Maharashtra held meeting on 13.07.2020 and took the following decision:

After detailed deliberations in the meeting, the following decision was taken:-

1. As per the revised guidelines issued by the University Grants Commission on 6, 2020, it is not possible to July conduct examinations in the State in case COVID-19. Therefore, the decision taken by the Government on June 2020 regarding the final session/final year examinations of nonprofessional(traditional) as well as professional courses was upheld.

- 2. The University Grants Commission should be re-requested as it is not possible to conduct the examination as per the guidelines."
- 104. With regard to conduct of examinations, authorities are State competent to the assess situation in a particular State regarding possibility of holding of examinations. No State shall permit health of its subject to be compromised that is why overriding power has been given to the State Disaster Management Authority and the State Government with regard to any inconsistency with any other law for the time being in force. We have noticed above that there are no orders or directions in the guidelines the National Disaster Management Authority or of National Executive Committee fettering the powers of the State Disaster Management Authority and a State a decision Government to take as to examinations by physical mode be permitted

particular State looking to the situation in the State. Coming to the guidelines dated 06.07.2020 of the UGC insofar as it directs completion of final examinations by 30.09.2020 which direction overridden by the decision of the State Disaster Management Authority and State Government where it resolved not to hold the examinations. We, thus, conclude that direction of the University Grants Commission in its revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020 insofar it directs the Universities and colleges to complete the final year/terminal examinations 30.09.2020 shall be overridden by any contrary taken by a State decision Disaster Management Authority or the State Government exercising power under the Disaster Management Act, 2005. Learned counsel appearing for the UGC has, in his submission, submitted that UCC shall be ready to consider any request received from any State to allow the Universities to re-schedule the date of final examinations and in the event any request is made to the UGC the deadline for completion of the examination can be extended by the UGC and the date of final examinations can be rescheduled.

Issue No.7

105. As noted above, the State Disaster Management Authority (State of Maharashtra) in its meeting dated 18.06.2020 as well as the State of Maharashtra in its Resolution dated 19.06.2020 have resolved to promote the students without taking the final examinations. It is useful to refer to the Government Resolution dated 19.06.2020, which is to the following effect:

"Government Resolution:

non-agricultural universities, 1. all In universities, self-financed deemed universities their and affiliated colleges for the academic year 2019-20 organising examinations of session/final year of graduation/ postgraduation classes the Universities are required to take action as per following point (1) and (2) in A:

(A) Non-Professional (Traditional) Courses:

- 1. If the students of final session/year have gone through in all earlier sessions intend to get degree certificates without appearing their examination, by way of obtaining in writing from them by way of adopting suitable formula the Universities should declare result.
- 2. If the students of final session/year have gone through in all earlier sessions intend to appear the examination, by way in writing of obtaining from them opportunity of appearing the examination is to be given to them. After taking into consideration the emergence of Covid-19 epidemic local level and local at situation and after discussing with the concerned District Collector & President of Disaster Eradication Authority the Universities should take decision and accordingly they may declare the time table.
- 3. In case of the students of final year if there is any backlog, in respect of examinations of their backlog a meeting is to be arranged at Government level with Chancellor and concerned Officers of the University and after discussing the matter in this meeting suitable decision would be taken.

(B) Professional Courses (Engineering, Pharmacy, Hotel Management, Management Science, Architecture, Planning, Computer Science, Law, Physical Education, Teaching Science etc):

Taking into consideration the situation of in the State the examinations of of final session/final year Professional Courses cannot arranged. be For those students like non-professional courses the decision has been taken in the meeting of Disaster Management Authority that action would be taken as per following point (1), (2) and (3) in above point A. concerned apex institution of concerned professional courses can make a request for getting approval to the same. In this regard separate communication would be done.

- 2. This Government Resolution is being released as per the decision taken in the meeting held on 18th June, 2020 of State Disaster Management Authority formed under Disaster Management Act 2005."
- 106. The guidelines dated 06.07.2020 categorically directed all Universities/Colleges to hold the examination of terminal semester/final year, option for not holding the examination was given in the

revised guidelines as well as the earlier guidelines only with regard to intermediate/year examination. submissions have been addressed by the Before us learned counsel appearing for the petitioners contending that students can be promoted on the basis of previous year assessment and internal assessment which in manner shall be lowering down no standard of education and the decision taken by the State Government and the State Disaster Management Authority to grant such promotion is perfectly in accordance with law. Referring to Regulations, 2003 it has been submitted that students can be promoted on the basis of cumulative grade point average. It is submitted that students have completed five semesters and no special importance can be attached to the last semester, hence the Maharashtra Government's decision to promote on the basis of previous assessment and internal assessment was in accordance with law.

107. We have already held, while considering Issue No.1, that University Grants Commission Act has been enacted in reference to Entry 66 of List I. The although have legislative competence States legislate on education including Universities but the State Legislation is subject to Entry 66 List I. The revised guidelines issued by UGC are statutory and referable to University Grants Commission Act, 1956 shall and have precedence as compared any inconsistent decision taken by the State. We also need to consider as to whether in exercise of power under the Disaster Management Act, 2005, the State or State Disaster Management Authority could have taken any decision with regard to promote the students undergoing final year/terminal without semester examination. The purpose and object of the Disaster Management Act, 2005 is management of disasters and for matters connected therewith. The Disaster Management is a continuous and integrated process of planning, organising, coordinating and implementing

measures. The Disaster Management has been defined in Section 2(e) to the following effect:

"Section 2(e) - "disaster management" means a continuous and integrated process of planning, organising, coordinating and implementing measures which are necessary or expedient for—

- (i) prevention of danger or threat of any disaster;
- (ii) mitigation or reduction of risk
 of any disaster or its severity or
 consequences;
- (iii) capacity-building;
- (iv) preparedness to deal with any
 disaster;
- (v) prompt response to any threatening
 disaster situation or disaster;
- (vi) assessing the severity or magnitude of effects of any disaster;
- (vii) evacuation, rescue and relief;

(viii) rehabilitation and
reconstruction;"

108. The word mitigation has also been defined in Section 2(i) as follows:

"Section 2(i) - "mitigation" means measures aimed at reducing the risk, impact or effects of a disaster or threatening disaster situation;"

109. The exercise of powers by the State Disaster Management Authority or by the State Government which shall have overriding effect under Section 72 are those exercise of jurisdiction which are within the four corners of the Disaster Management Act, 2005. When the State Disaster Management Authority and State Government take a decision that for mitigation or prevention of disaster it is not possible to hold physical examination in the State, the said decision was within the four corners of Disaster Management Act, 2005. However, the decision of the Disaster

Management Authority or the State Government that students should be promoted without appearing in the final year/terminal semester examination, is not within the domain of the Disaster Management Act, The decision to promote students and grant Degree by a State if contrary to any Central enactment or guidelines issued thereunder the Central enactment and the guidelines thereunder shall have precedence by virtue of the same being referable to Entry 66 List I. We, thus, conclude that the State Management Authority and Disaster the State Government has no jurisdiction to take a decision that the students of final year/terminal examination should be promoted on the basis of earlier years assessment and internal assessment whereas the UGC guidelines dated 06.07.2020 directed specifically to conduct final year/terminal semester examination. The UGC guidelines dated 06.07.2020 in the above respect shall override the decision of the State Government and the State Disaster Management Authority regarding

promoting the students, does not fall within the jurisdiction of the Disaster Management Act, 2005 and shall have no protection of Section 72 of the Disaster Management Act, 2005. We, thus, conclude that the State or the State Disaster Management jurisdiction Authority have no under Disaster 2005 to take a decision Management Act, for promoting the students on the basis of previous performance or internal assessment which decision being contrary to revised quidelines of the University Grants Commission cannot be upheld and has to give way to the guidelines of UGC which is the Authority to issue guidelines for determination and maintenance of standards of education and teaching of the Universities.

110. From the aforesaid discussion, we arrive at the following conclusions:

Conclusions:

- (1) The Revised Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 issued by the UGC are not beyond the domain of the UGC and they relate to coordination and determination of standards in institutions of higher education.
- Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 (2) The in are continuation to the earlier Guidelines dated 29.04.2020 and are not contrary to the earlier Guidelines. We have to look into the substance of the Guidelines to find out the intention and object of the Guidelines. The Guidelines were issued with the object that a uniform academic calendar followed by all the Universities and final /terminal examinations be held.
- (3) The Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 has to be treated to have been issued in exercise of the statutory powers vested in the Commission under Section 12. As per the Statutory Regulations, 2003, it is the

statutory duty of the Universities to adopt the Guidelines issued by the UGC. The Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 cannot be ignored by terming it as non-statutory or advisory.

- made Revised (4)The differentiation in the Guidelines to hold final or terminal examination and give option for to earlier years/intermediate semester for not holding rational examination has basis. The a differentiation has nexus with the object to be We, thus, reject the challenge to the achieved. revised Guidelines on the ground that there is any discrimination between the students final of year/terminal semester and those of intermediate and first year.
- (5) The revised Guidelines also cannot be termed to violate Article 14 of the Constitution on the ground that one date, i.e., 30.09.2020 has been fixed irrespective of the conditions prevailing in

individual States. The date for completion of examination was fixed throughout the country to maintain uniformity in the academic calendar.

- (6) The Revised Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 as well as Standard Operating Procedures for conduct of examinations circulated vide letter dated 08.07.2020 of UGC as well as 0.M. dated 06.07.2020 issued by MHRD clearly shows deep concern with the health of all stakeholders, i.e., students as well as the exam functionaries. Challenge to the Guidelines on the ground of it being violative of Article 21 is repelled.
- The expression "other bodies" used in opening (7) part of the Section 12 of the UGC Act, 1956 is in reference to other bodies apart from Universities as enumerated under Section 12. The submission that bodies occurring in Section other as 12 should include State Disaster Management Authority or health misconceived. Section 12 experts is never

contemplated any such expression. The revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020 are not in breach of Section 12 of 1956 Act.

- (8) The Disaster Management Act, 2005 empowers the State Disaster Management Authority as well as the State Government to take measures for prevention and mitigation of a disaster and the action taken by the authorities under the Disaster Management Act have been given overriding effect to achieve the purpose and object of the Act, 2005. Saving of human life has been given paramount importance under the Act, 2005. Primacy have been given to the actions and measures taken under the Act, 2005 over anything inconsistent in any other law for the time being in force.
- (9) The direction of the University Grants Commission in Revised Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 insofar as it directs the Universities and Colleges to complete the final year/terminal year examination by 30.09.2020

shall be overridden by any contrary decision taken by the State Disaster Management Authority or the State Government exercising power under the Disaster Management Act, 2005.

(10) The State Governments or State Disaster Management Authority in exercise of power under Disaster Management Act, 2005 has no jurisdiction to decision that take a the students of year/terminal students should be promoted on the of earlier year assessment basis and internal assessment, which decision being contrary to UGC Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 has to give way to the UGC Guidelines. The UGC Guidelines dated 06.07.2020 specifically directed to conduct the final year/ terminal semester examination which shall override such contrary decision of the State Government or SDMA.

- 111. In view of our foregoing discussion and conclusion, this batch of cases is disposed of in the following manner:
 - (1) The prayer to quash the revised guidelines dated 06.07.2020 issued by the University Grants Commission and OM dated 06.07.2020 issued by the Ministry of Human Resource Development and letter dated 06.07.2020 issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs is refused.
 - (2) The decision taken by the State Disaster Management Authority/State not to hold final year/terminal semester examination by 30.09.2020 in exercise of power under Disaster Management Act, 2005 shall prevail over deadline fixed by the University Grants Commission i.e. 30.09.2020 in respect to the concerned State.

- (3) The decision of the State/State Disaster Management Authority to promote the students in the final year/terminal semester on the basis of previous performance and internal assessment jurisdiction beyond the of being Disaster Management Act, 2005 has to give way to the guidelines of UGC dated 06.07.2020 directing to hold examination of final year/terminal semester. and University cannot The State promote students in the final year/terminal semester without holding final year/terminal examination.
- (4) If any State/Union Territory in exercise of jurisdiction under Disaster Management Act, 2005 has taken a decision that it is not possible to conduct the final year/terminal semester examination by 30.09.2020, we grant liberty to such State/Union Territory to make an application to the University Grants Commission for extending deadline 30.09.2020 of for that State/Union

Territory which shall be considered by UGC and rescheduled date be communicated to such State/Union Territory at the earliest.

112. All writ petitions are disposed of accordingly.

The Special Leave Petition No.10042 of 2020 is dismissed.

(ASHOK BHUSHAN)
(R. SUBHASH REDDY)
(M.R. SHAH)

New Delhi, August 28, 2020.